

# Passivity/dissipativity-preserving model reduction Algorithm (Lecture 14)

Madhu N. Belur

Control & Computing group,  
Electrical Engineering Dept, IIT Bombay

26th May 2014

- Remaining behavioral-notation and
- (Half-line) dissipativity definition and results
- Dissipativity-preserving model-order reduction

- Recall a behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  was called  $\Sigma$ -dissipative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}.$$

- Call  $\mathfrak{B}$  dissipative **on  $\mathbb{R}_-$**  if for all  $w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}$  and for all  $T$

$$\int_{-\infty}^T w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{('bounded from below')} \\ \text{(like physical storage)} \end{array}$$

- and **on  $\mathbb{R}_+$**  if  $\int_T^{\infty} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0$ .
- dissipative  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w)$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \geq 0$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \leq 0$

- Recall a behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  was called  $\Sigma$ -dissipative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}.$$

- Call  $\mathfrak{B}$  dissipative **on  $\mathbb{R}_-$**  if for all  $w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}$  and for all  $T$

$$\int_{-\infty}^T w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{('bounded from below')} \\ \text{(like physical storage)} \end{array}$$

- and **on  $\mathbb{R}_+$**  if  $\int_T^{\infty} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0$ .
- dissipative  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w)$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \geq 0$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \leq 0$

- Recall a behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  was called  $\Sigma$ -dissipative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}.$$

- Call  $\mathfrak{B}$  dissipative **on  $\mathbb{R}_-$**  if for all  $w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}$  and for all  $T$

$$\int_{-\infty}^T w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{('bounded from below')} \\ \text{(like physical storage)} \end{array}$$

- and **on  $\mathbb{R}_+$**  if  $\int_T^{\infty} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0$ .

- dissipative  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w)$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \geq 0$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \leq 0$

- Recall a behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  was called  $\Sigma$ -dissipative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}.$$

- Call  $\mathfrak{B}$  dissipative **on  $\mathbb{R}_-$**  if for all  $w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}$  and for all  $T$

$$\int_{-\infty}^T w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{('bounded from below')} \\ \text{(like physical storage)} \end{array}$$

- and **on  $\mathbb{R}_+$**  if  $\int_T^{\infty} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0$ .
- dissipative  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w)$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \geq 0$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \leq 0$

- Recall a behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  was called  $\Sigma$ -dissipative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}.$$

- Call  $\mathfrak{B}$  dissipative **on**  $\mathbb{R}_-$  if for all  $w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}$  and for all  $T$

$$\int_{-\infty}^T w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{('bounded from below')} \\ \text{(like physical storage)} \end{array}$$

- and **on**  $\mathbb{R}_+$  if  $\int_T^{\infty} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0$ .
- dissipative  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w)$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \geq 0$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \leq 0$

- Recall a behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  was called  $\Sigma$ -dissipative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}.$$

- Call  $\mathfrak{B}$  dissipative **on**  $\mathbb{R}_-$  if for all  $w \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{D}$  and for all  $T$

$$\int_{-\infty}^T w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{('bounded from below')} \\ \text{(like physical storage)} \end{array}$$

- and **on**  $\mathbb{R}_+$  if  $\int_T^{\infty} w^T \Sigma w dt \geq 0$ .
- dissipative  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w)$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \geq 0$
- dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  storage function  $Q_{\Psi}(w) \leq 0$

When supply rate  $\Sigma$  equals  $\gamma^2 u^T u - y^T y$  and  
for system with input  $u$  and output  $y$

(Case of maximal input cardinality:  $m(\mathfrak{B}) = \sigma_+(\Sigma)$ )

- dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow$  transfer matrix is stable  
(no poles in CRHP)
- $\mathfrak{B}$  is  $\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\perp\Sigma}$  is  $-\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$

Dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow$  maximum storage function

$$Q_{\Psi_{\max}}(w) \geq 0 \text{ (i.e. } K_{\max} \geq 0)$$

( $Q_{\Psi_{\max}}(w)$ : ‘required supply’)

Dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow$  minimum storage function

$$Q_{\Psi_{\min}}(w) \leq 0 \text{ (i.e. } K_{\min} \leq 0)$$

( $Q_{\Psi_{\min}}(w)$ : ‘available storage’)

When supply rate  $\Sigma$  equals  $\gamma^2 u^T u - y^T y$  and  
for system with input  $u$  and output  $y$

(Case of maximal input cardinality:  $m(\mathfrak{B}) = \sigma_+(\Sigma)$ )

- dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow$  transfer matrix is stable  
(no poles in CRHP)
- $\mathfrak{B}$  is  $\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\perp\Sigma}$  is  $-\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$

Dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow$  maximum storage function

$$Q_{\Psi_{\max}}(w) \geq 0 \text{ (i.e. } K_{\max} \geq 0)$$

( $Q_{\Psi_{\max}}(w)$ : ‘required supply’)

Dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow$  minimum storage function

$$Q_{\Psi_{\min}}(w) \leq 0 \text{ (i.e. } K_{\min} \leq 0)$$

( $Q_{\Psi_{\min}}(w)$ : ‘available storage’)

When supply rate  $\Sigma$  equals  $\gamma^2 u^T u - y^T y$  and  
for system with input  $u$  and output  $y$

(Case of maximal input cardinality:  $m(\mathfrak{B}) = \sigma_+(\Sigma)$ )

- dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow$  transfer matrix is stable  
(no poles in CRHP)
- $\mathfrak{B}$  is  $\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\perp\Sigma}$  is  $-\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_+$

Dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_-$   $\Leftrightarrow$  maximum storage function

$$Q_{\Psi_{\max}}(w) \geq 0 \text{ (i.e. } K_{\max} \geq 0)$$

( $Q_{\Psi_{\max}}(w)$ : ‘required supply’)

Dissipativity on  $\mathbb{R}_+$   $\Leftrightarrow$  minimum storage function

$$Q_{\Psi_{\min}}(w) \leq 0 \text{ (i.e. } K_{\min} \leq 0)$$

( $Q_{\Psi_{\min}}(w)$ : ‘available storage’)

Assume  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  has minimal kernel representation

$$R\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)w = 0$$

(Full row rank  $R(\xi)$ ) and image representation  $w = M\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\ell$

- WLOG, choose  $M(\xi)$  such that  $M(\lambda)$  is full column rank for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .  
( $\ell$  is '**observable**' from  $w$ , also  $M$  is called '**right-prime**')
- $R(\xi) = [P(\xi) \ Q(\xi)]$  with  $\det(P(\xi)) \neq 0$  and  $w = (y, u)$ , then transfer matrix from  $u$  to  $y$  is  $G(s) = -P(s)^{-1}Q(s)$ .
- Number of rows in  $R$  = number of outputs
- Number of columns in  $M$  = number of inputs

Again assume controllable  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and  $R$  is left-prime and  $M$  is right-prime

- Corresponding to  $w = (y, u)$ , also partition

$$M(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} Y(\xi) \\ U(\xi) \end{bmatrix}, G(s) = -P(s)^{-1}Q(s) = Y(s)U(s)^{-1}$$

(left/right (polynomial) coprime factorization of  $G(s)$ )

- Amongst all maximal nonsingular minors  $P$  in  $R(\xi) = [P(\xi) \ Q(\xi)]$ , find one with maximum determinantal degree:  $n(\mathfrak{B})$ : McMillan degree
- Ensures  $G(s)$  is **proper**:  $\det U(s)$  has same degree, and is also maximum
- $n(\mathfrak{B})$ : least number of ‘states’ (defined using a ‘concatenability’ axiom)

## Few more 'convenient' representations

Interested in  $w$ -behavior: manifest behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^w)$ :  
kernel representations  
but conveniently

- image representations: 'free'  $\ell$  generates all trajectories
- state representations: state  $x$  'being equal' allows concatenation of trajectories
- powerful/efficient/accurate manipulation of **constant** matrices:  $(E, A, B, C, D)$

$$E \frac{d}{dt}x + Fx + Gw = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}x = Ax + Bw_1, \\ w_2 = Cx + Dw_1 \end{array} \right) \Leftrightarrow \text{transfer matrix } w_1 \rightarrow w_2 \text{ is } \mathbf{proper}$$

(last one: i/s/o representation)

## Few more 'convenient' representations

Interested in  $w$ -behavior: manifest behavior  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^w)$ :  
kernel representations  
but conveniently

- image representations: 'free'  $\ell$  generates all trajectories
- state representations: state  $x$  'being equal' allows concatenation of trajectories
- powerful/efficient/accurate manipulation of **constant** matrices:  $(E, A, B, C, D)$

$$E \frac{d}{dt}x + Fx + Gw = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}x = Ax + Bw_1, \\ w_2 = Cx + Dw_1 \end{array} \right) \Leftrightarrow \text{transfer matrix } w_1 \rightarrow w_2 \text{ is } \mathbf{proper}$$

(last one: i/s/o representation)

# Output nulling and driving variable (state) representations

Interested in  $w$  only.

Dummy variables:  $x$  and  $d$  (with additional properties)

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bd \quad \text{and} \quad w = Cx + Dd \quad (\text{driving variable (d.v.)}),$$

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bw \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = Cx + Dw \quad (\text{output nulling (o.n.)}),$$

Just like  $\mathfrak{B} = \ker R\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\perp = \text{image } R\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)^T,$

Can jump between i/s/o representations of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}^\perp$

and d.v. representation of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and o.n. of  $\mathfrak{B}^\perp$  (and  $\mathfrak{B}^{\perp\Sigma}$ )

We seek least number of variables in  $d$ , and in  $x$ :

‘observability’, ‘trimness’

# Output nulling and driving variable (state) representations

Interested in  $w$  only.

Dummy variables:  $x$  and  $d$  (with additional properties)

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bd \quad \text{and} \quad w = Cx + Dd \quad (\text{driving variable (d.v.)}),$$

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bw \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = Cx + Dw \quad (\text{output nulling (o.n.)}),$$

Just like  $\mathfrak{B} = \ker R\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\perp = \text{image } R\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)^T,$

Can jump between i/s/o representations of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}^\perp$

and d.v. representation of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and o.n. of  $\mathfrak{B}^\perp$  (and  $\mathfrak{B}^{\perp\Sigma}$ )

We seek least number of variables in  $d$ , and in  $x$ :

‘observability’, ‘trimness’

# Output nulling and driving variable (state) representations

Interested in  $w$  only.

Dummy variables:  $x$  and  $d$  (with additional properties)

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bd \quad \text{and} \quad w = Cx + Dd \quad (\text{driving variable (d.v.)}),$$

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bw \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = Cx + Dw \quad (\text{output nulling (o.n.)}),$$

Just like  $\mathfrak{B} = \ker R\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\perp = \text{image } R\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)^T,$

Can jump between i/s/o representations of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}^\perp$

and d.v. representation of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and o.n. of  $\mathfrak{B}^\perp$  (and  $\mathfrak{B}^{\perp\Sigma}$ )

We seek least number of variables in  $d$ , and in  $x$ :

‘observability’, ‘trimness’

Given  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  and symmetric nonsingular  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times w}$   
Suppose  $\mathfrak{B}$  is strictly  $\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$  and suppose  $n$  is the McMillan degree of  $\mathfrak{B}$

Choose  $k < n$ . Find  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cont}}^w$  such that

- 1  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$  has McMillan degree at most  $k$
- 2  $m(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}) = m(\mathfrak{B})$
- 3  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$  is also strictly  $\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$
- 4  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$  satisfies  $(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}^*)_{\text{anti-stab}} \subset \mathfrak{B}^*$

(Fourth point: trajectories in  $\mathfrak{B}$  of minimal dissipation are retained into  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$ )

$\mathfrak{B}^* = M\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \ker \partial\Phi'\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)$  and

strict dissipativity  $\Leftrightarrow$  no  $j\mathbb{R}$  roots of  $\det \partial\Phi'(\xi)$

Proposed by Sorensen, SCL 2005, and as interpreted in Minh, Trentelman & Rapisarda (MCSS, 2009)

$$w^T \Sigma w = u^T y, \quad w = (u, y)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = Ax + Bu, \quad \text{and } y = Cx + Du \text{ for } \mathfrak{B}, \text{ and hence}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\perp \Sigma} \text{ represented by } \frac{d}{dt}z = -A^T z + C^T u, \quad y = B^T z - D^T u$$

$$\text{(Try } \frac{d}{dt}x^T z \stackrel{?}{=} u^T y)$$

Interconnecting (& assuming strict passivity  $\Rightarrow D + D^T > 0$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } H \text{ and } L \text{ respectively as}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - B(D + D^T)^{-1}C & B(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ -C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}C & -A^T + C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -(D + D^T)^{-1}C & (D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ C - D(D + D^T)^{-1}C & D(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposed by Sorensen, SCL 2005, and as interpreted in Minh, Trentelman & Rapisarda (MCSS, 2009)

$$w^T \Sigma w = u^T y, \quad w = (u, y)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} x = Ax + Bu, \quad \text{and } y = Cx + Du \text{ for } \mathfrak{B}, \text{ and hence}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\perp \Sigma} \text{ represented by } \frac{d}{dt} z = -A^T z + C^T u, \quad y = B^T z - D^T u$$

$$\text{(Try } \frac{d}{dt} x^T z \stackrel{?}{=} u^T y \text{)}$$

Interconnecting (& assuming strict passivity  $\Rightarrow D + D^T > 0$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } H \text{ and } L \text{ respectively as}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - B(D + D^T)^{-1}C & B(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ -C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}C & -A^T + C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -(D + D^T)^{-1}C & (D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ C - D(D + D^T)^{-1}C & D(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposed by Sorensen, SCL 2005, and as interpreted in Minh, Trentelman & Rapisarda (MCSS, 2009)

$$w^T \Sigma w = u^T y, \quad w = (u, y)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} x = Ax + Bu, \quad \text{and } y = Cx + Du \text{ for } \mathfrak{B}, \text{ and hence}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\perp \Sigma} \text{ represented by } \frac{d}{dt} z = -A^T z + C^T u, \quad y = B^T z - D^T u$$

$$\text{(Try } \frac{d}{dt} x^T z \stackrel{?}{=} u^T y \text{)}$$

Interconnecting (& assuming strict passivity  $\Rightarrow D + D^T > 0$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } H \text{ and } L \text{ respectively as}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - B(D + D^T)^{-1}C & B(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ -C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}C & -A^T + C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -(D + D^T)^{-1}C & (D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ C - D(D + D^T)^{-1}C & D(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposed by Sorensen, SCL 2005, and as interpreted in Minh, Trentelman & Rapisarda (MCSS, 2009)

$$w^T \Sigma w = u^T y, \quad w = (u, y)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} x = Ax + Bu, \quad \text{and } y = Cx + Du \text{ for } \mathfrak{B}, \text{ and hence}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\perp \Sigma} \text{ represented by } \frac{d}{dt} z = -A^T z + C^T u, \quad y = B^T z - D^T u$$

$$\text{(Try } \frac{d}{dt} x^T z \stackrel{?}{=} u^T y \text{)}$$

Interconnecting (& assuming strict passivity  $\Rightarrow D + D^T > 0$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } H \text{ and } L \text{ respectively as}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - B(D + D^T)^{-1}C & B(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ -C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}C & -A^T + C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -(D + D^T)^{-1}C & (D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ C - D(D + D^T)^{-1}C & D(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposed by Sorensen, SCL 2005, and as interpreted in Minh, Trentelman & Rapisarda (MCSS, 2009)

$$w^T \Sigma w = u^T y, \quad w = (u, y)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} x = Ax + Bu, \quad \text{and } y = Cx + Du \text{ for } \mathfrak{B}, \text{ and hence}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\perp \Sigma} \text{ represented by } \frac{d}{dt} z = -A^T z + C^T u, \quad y = B^T z - D^T u$$

$$\text{(Try } \frac{d}{dt} x^T z \stackrel{?}{=} u^T y \text{)}$$

Interconnecting (& assuming strict passivity  $\Rightarrow D + D^T > 0$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } H \text{ and } L \text{ respectively as}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - B(D + D^T)^{-1}C & B(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ -C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}C & -A^T + C^T(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -(D + D^T)^{-1}C & (D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \\ C - D(D + D^T)^{-1}C & D(D + D^T)^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Choose anti-Hurwitz  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  (from ORHP spectral zeros) and corresponding real  $X$  and  $Y$  such that

$$H \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} R.$$

Strict dissipatives  $\Rightarrow X$  and  $Y$  are both full column rank. They are ‘part’ of maximal ARE solution (known to be symmetric), same argument helps  $X^T Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  being symmetric and positive definite.

- Obtain  $X^T Y = Q S^2 Q^T$  with  $Q^T = Q^{-1}$ , and  $S$  diagonal.
- Define  $V := X Q S^{-1}$  and  $W := Y Q S^{-1}$ ,
- $\hat{A} := W^T A V$ ,  $\hat{B} := W^T B$ ,  $\hat{C} := C V$  and  $\hat{D} := D$
- Define reduced order system  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D})$ .

Choose anti-Hurwitz  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  (from ORHP spectral zeros) and corresponding real  $X$  and  $Y$  such that

$$H \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} R.$$

Strict dissipativeness  $\Rightarrow X$  and  $Y$  are both full column rank. They are 'part' of maximal ARE solution (known to be symmetric), same argument helps  $X^T Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  being symmetric and positive definite.

- Obtain  $X^T Y = QS^2 Q^T$  with  $Q^T = Q^{-1}$ , and  $S$  diagonal.
- Define  $V := XQS^{-1}$  and  $W := YQS^{-1}$ ,
- $\hat{A} := W^T AV$ ,  $\hat{B} := W^T B$ ,  $\hat{C} := CV$  and  $\hat{D} := D$
- Define reduced order system  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D})$ .

$W^T V$  is identity matrix and

$WV^T$  satisfies  $(WV^T)^2 = WV^T$

??  $X^T Y$  is the largest ARE solution of the reduced system??

Recall: we sought  $\hat{B}$ :

- ①  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$  has McMillan degree at most  $k$
- ②  $m(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}) = m(\mathfrak{B})$
- ③  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$  is strictly  $\Sigma$ -dissipative on  $\mathbb{R}_-$
- ④  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$  satisfies  $(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}^*)_{\text{anti-stab}} \subset \mathfrak{B}^*$

With  $\hat{X} := \hat{Y} := SQ^T$  (Sorensen, SCL-'05), Minh, et al gets

$$\hat{H} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{X} \\ \hat{Y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{X} \\ \hat{Y} \end{bmatrix} R$$

Further,  $\hat{L}\hat{X} = LX$  and  $\hat{L}\hat{Y} = LY$  give  $(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}^*)_{\text{anti-stab}} \subset \mathfrak{B}^*$

- Lagrange interpolating polynomials
- Rational interpolant with degree constraint  $\rightarrow$  ‘Löwner’ matrices
- Link with Nevanlinna Pick interpolation problem
- Given  $N$  pairs  $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , find p.r. interpolant  $G(s)$
- Pick matrix  $\Pi$  with  $\Pi_{ij}$  defined as

$$\frac{y_i + y_j^*}{x_i + x_j^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - w_i w_j^*}{x_i + x_j^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - w_i w_j^*}{1 - z_i z_j^*}$$

depending on P.R., B.R. (OLHP), B.R. ( $|z| = 1$ ), with

$$w_i := \frac{1 - y_i}{1 + y_i} \quad \text{and} \quad z_i := \frac{1 - x_i}{1 + x_i}$$

“Model reduction by interpolating at (some) spectral zeros”  
“Pick matrix  $\equiv$  minimum energy required across trajectories in  $\ker A(\frac{d}{dt})$ ” (QDF, Willems & Trentelman, SIAM 1998)