



MOBILE TOWER/ MOBILE PHONE RADIATION HAZARDS!!

Prof. Girish Kumar
Electrical Engineering Department
IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai
(022) 25767436
gkumar@ee.iitb.ac.in


Outline of Presentation

- **Microwave Heating Principle**
- **Cell Phone – Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)**
- **Radiation Pattern of Cell tower Antenna**
- **International Radiation Norms**
- **Biological effects**
- **Implementation of safe radiation norms**
- **Conclusion**

Cell Phone and Tower Statistics in India



India Population –
1.2 billion



Mobile Towers –
4.5 lakh



Mobile subscribers –
800+ Million

Microwave Radiation

Microwave radiation effects are classified as:

- Thermal
- **Non-thermal**

The current exposure safety standards are mainly based on the thermal effects, which are inadequate.

Non-thermal effects are several times more harmful than thermal effects.

Microwave Heating Concept

4.2 KW (4200 W) of microwave power raises temperature of 1 Litre of water by 1°C in 1 second.


In energy absorption term, 4.2 KW-sec microwave energy will increase the temperature of 1 Litre by 1°C .

For example, in a microwave oven, temperature of one cup of water increases from 30°C to 100°C in approx. 70 seconds with 500W of microwave power.

With 1W power (same as output power of cell phones), temp. will increase by 1°C in 500 seconds.

Cell Phone - Ear Warming?

Have you ever noticed warm sensation in ear after using mobile phone for a long time?



Temp. of ear lobes increases by 1°C when cell phone is used for approx. 20 minutes.

Warm sensation/pain > tinnitus > irreversible hearing loss



All these effects lead to Ear Tumor

Tinnitus or "Ringxiety"- sensation of cell phone ring

SAR and Cell phone use time limit



6 minutes/day usage.

A Cell phone transmits
1 to 2 Watts of power

SAR (Specific absorption rate) - Rate at which radiation is absorbed by human body, measured in watts per kg (W/kg).

In USA, max. SAR limit for cell phones is **1.6W/Kg** which is for **6 minutes.** It has a safety margin of 3 to 4, so a person should not use cell phone for more than **18 to 24 minutes per day.**

This information is not given to people in India.

Warning from Blackberry

BlackBerry device keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body when the BlackBerry device is transmitting. When using any data feature of the BlackBerry device, with or without a USB cable, hold the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body. If you use a body-worn accessory not supplied by RIM when you carry the BlackBerry device, verify that the accessory does not contain metal and keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body when the BlackBerry device is transmitting.

To reduce radio frequency (RF) exposure consider these safety guidelines:

- Use the BlackBerry device in areas where there is a strong wireless signal. The indicator that provides information about the strength of the wireless signal is located in the upper-right corner of the Home screen and displays five ascending bars. Three or more bars indicate a strong signal. A reduced signal display, which might occur in areas such as an underground parking structure or if you are traveling by train or car, might indicate increased power output from your BlackBerry device as it attempts to connect to a weak signal.
- Use hands-free operation if it is available and keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body (including the abdomen of pregnant women and the lower abdomen of teenagers) when the BlackBerry device is turned on and connected to the wireless network. For more information about carrying your BlackBerry device, see the holster information in the "Additional safety guidelines" section of this document.
- Reduce the amount of time spent on calls.

Results of Re-evaluation of Interphone Study

INTERPHONE – WHO -10 years, 13 countries, largest (5,117 brain tumor cases), \$25 million dollars to evaluate risk on brain tumors.

Conclusion - no overall ↑ risk, but suggestions of ↑ glioma - heavy users & ipsilateral exposures

Re-evaluation - Risk underestimated by at least 25%

- For every 100 hours of use -26% ↑ risk of meningioma
- Initial 24% risk of glioma ↑ to 55% - regular users are taken as people who use it for **2hrs/month**.
- Doubled - quadrupled brain tumor risk - heavy users (**1/2 hour/day**).
- Children, young adults– excluded. New study - Mobi-kids

WHO: Cell phone use can increase cancer risk

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), a part of **WHO designates cell phones as "possible human carcinogen" [Class 2B]**



World Health Organization

Found evidence of increase in glioma and acoustic neuroma brain cancer for mobile phone

International Agency for Research on Cancer



World Health
Organization

PRESS RELEASE
N° 208

31 May 2011

IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS
POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

Cell Tower Radiation

Antennas on Cell tower transmit in the frequency range of:

- 869 - 890 MHz (CDMA)
- 935 - 960 MHz (GSM900)
- 1805 – 1880 MHz (GSM1800)
- 2110 – 2170 MHz (3G)*




Cell Towers Installed in Mumbai




Radiation Pattern of Antenna


Horizontal plane



Vertical plane




Radiation Pattern of a Cell Tower Antenna



Propagation of "main beam" from antenna mounted on a tower or roof top

People living within 50 to 300 meter radius are in the high radiation zone (dark blue) and are more prone to ill-effects of electromagnetic radiation

Radiation Pattern of a Cell Tower Antenna



Note: Diagram only for illustration

CASE STUDY

Usha Kiran Building, Worli, Mumbai



The cell phone towers installed on the Vijay Apartments terrace at Carmichael Road pic/Bipin Kokate



Usha Kiran Building

Six cancer cases in consecutive floors (5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 10th) directly facing and at similar height as the mobile phone towers of four telecom companies placed on the roof of opposite building.

Power Density Calculations

Power density P_d at a distance R is given by

$$P_d = \left(\frac{P_t \times G_t}{4\pi R^2} \right) \text{ Watt/m}^2$$

P_t = Transmitter power in Watts

G_t = Gain of transmitting antenna

R = Distance from the antenna in meters

Power Density at distance from cell tower

For $P_t = 20 \text{ W}$, $G_t = 17 \text{ dB} = 50$

Distance R (m)	P_d (W/m ²)	P_d ($\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$)
1	79.6	79,600,000
3	8.84	8,840,000
5	3.18	3,180,000
10	0.796	796,000
50	0.0318	31,800
100	0.008	7,960
500	0.000318	318

Above values are for a **single carrier and a single operator.**

Power Density for multiple carriers and operators

For $P_t = 20 \text{ W}$, $G_t = 17 \text{ dB} = 50$

No. of carriers = 5, No. of operators = 3

Distance R (m)	P_d (W/m ²)	P_d ($\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$)
1	1194.0	1194,000,000
3	126.0	126,000,000
5	47.7	47,700,000
10	11.94	11,940,000
50	0.477	477,000
100	0.1194	119,400
500	0.00477	4,770

For **5 carriers** and **3 operators** on the same roof top or tower, radiation level is extremely high.

International Exposure Standards and Guidelines

International Exposure limits for RF fields (1800MHz)	
9.2 W/m²	ICNIRP and EU recommandation 1998 – Adopted in India
2 W/m ²	Exposure limit in Australia
1.2 W/m ²	Belgium (except Wallonia)
0.5 W/m ²	Exposure Limit in Auckland, New Zealand
0.24 W/m ²	Exposure limit in CSSR, Belgium (Wallonia), Luxembourg
0.1 W/m ²	Exposure limit in Poland, China, Italy , Paris, Toronto Board of Health1999
0.095 W/m ²	Exposure limit in Switzerl, Italy in areas with duration > 4hours
0.09 W/m ²	ECOLOG 1998 (Germany) <i>Precaution recommendation only</i>
0.025 W/m ²	Exposure limit in Italy in sensitive areas
0.02 W/m²	Exposure limit in Russia (since 1970), Bulgaria, Hungary
0.001 W/m ²	"Precautionary limit" in Austria, Salzburg City only
0.001 W/m ²	BioInitiative Working Group 2007) <i>Precautionary recommendation – outdoor</i>
0.0001 W/m ²	BioInitiative Working Group (2007) <i>Precautionary recommendation - indoor</i>
0.00001 W/m ²	BUND 2007 (Germany) <i>Precaution recommendation only</i>
0.00001W/m²	New South Wales, Australia (2010)

ICNIRP Guidelines

India adopts ICNIRP guideline for Power density (P_d)
= Frequency /200, frequency is in MHz

For GSM900 (935-960 MHz), $P_d = 4.7\text{W/m}^2$ and
GSM1800 (1810-1880 MHz), $P_d = 9.2\text{W/m}^2$.


ICNIRP has given following disclosure:

ICNIRP is only intended to protect the public against short term gross heating effects and NOT against 'biological' effects such as cancer and genetic damage from long term low level microwave exposure from mobile phones, masts and many other wireless devices.

<http://ww.icnirp.de/documents/emfgdl.pdf>

Power Absorbed by Human Body

Microwave power absorbed by human body if exposed to so called safe radiation level adopted in India, which is $f/200$, where f is in MHz?



ICNIRP Guideline –
At 940 MHz, Power density (P_d) is $4.7\text{W}/\text{m}^2$

Power received (P_r) by human body will be
 $[P_r = P_d \times \text{Area}] = 6.75$ Watts in one sec.



Microwave oven: 700 to 1000 W.
With say 60% efficiency, microwave power output is say 500 W.

In one day, microwave energy absorbed will be $[6.75 \text{ Watts} \times 60 \times 60 \times 24 \text{ sec}] = \underline{583.2 \text{ KW-sec}}$.

This implies that human body can be safely kept in a microwave oven for 1166 secs = **19 minutes per day**

Power absorbed by human body near cell tower

Can one stand in front of a cell tower at 1 m distance for 4 hours continuously?

For $P_t = 20 \text{ W}$, $G_t = 17 \text{ dB} = 50$

At 1m, Power density = 79.6 W/m^2

Power absorbed in one sec = $P_d \times .7$ (for $\frac{1}{2}$ area) = 55.7 W

Energy absorbed in 1 hour = $55.7 \times 3600 = 200.5 \text{ kW-sec}$

For a human body of weight 60 Kg, liquid content at 70% is 42 Litres. So, temp. rise will be 2°F .

In 4 hours, temp. rise will be 8°F . Normal body temp will increase from 98.4 to 106.4°F . Can one survive?

Other Standards and Guidelines

- BioInitiative Report 2007 (610 pages)

1000 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ for outdoor, cumulative RF exposure.

100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ for indoor, cumulative RF exposure.

- Building Biology Institute, Germany

a. $<0.1 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - no concern

b. $0.1 - 10 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - slight concern

c. $10 - 1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - severe concern


d. $> 1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - extreme concern

Radiation Measurement at various locations

Cumulative Readings including CDMA, GSM 900, and GSM 1800

Location	Reading in dBm	Readings in W/m ²	Readings in microW/m ²
Delhi-Gurgaon Highway - near Toll (3 towers)	0	0.0706	70,686
Vashi Bridge - after Railway Station	-4	0.0282	28,274
Resident 1, 4 th Fl: Sergean House Lady w/cancer	-6	0.0177	17,756
Resident 2, Opposite roof, Rane Society, Powai	-10	0.00706	7,069
Near Hub mall, Goregaon	-10	0.00706	7,069
Gandhi Nagar Over railway bridge-near building	-12	0.00446	4,460
Ustav Chowk, Kharghar	-12	0.00446	4,460
Vikroli - before Godrej	-14	0.002814	2,814
Govandi- Residential towers - near Indian Oil	-14	0.002814	2,814
Belapur Flyover, near RBI- CIDCO	-16	0.001776	1,776
Vashi Highway – near Turbhe	-18	0.001120	1,120
Nerul Bridge	-20	0.000707	707
Vivero pre School (opposite powai lake)	-22	0.000446	446
Powai police station	-22	0.000446	446
Rajeev Gandhi nagar	-26	0.000177	177
On road near Evita (Hiranandani Building)	-28	0.000112	112
D-Mart,Hiranandani, Powai	-34	0.0000280	28
IIT Bombay School of Management - Entrance	-46	0.00000178	1.78


Measurement inside an Apartment




SERGEANT HOUSE Residence (4th Floor) - Lady has been diagnosed with cancer - Cell phone towers few 10 meters away close to window in main beam. Measured Power levels using Radiation Monitor are in dBm, which are very high.

Health concerns with current Safety Guidelines

Guidelines for various countries






BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS



BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS



Multiple Resonances - localized heating - results in boils, drying up the fluids around eyes, brain, joints, heart, abdomen, etc.

BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS



Most common complaints:

- Sleep disruption
- Headache
- Concentration
- Forgetful memory
- Depression
- Fatigue
- Dizziness
- Palpitations of the heart
- Visual disorders
- Cardiovascular problems
- Buzzing in the head
- Altered reflexes




Many of these are related to changes in the electrical activity of the brain

BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Risk to Children

More vulnerable



RF penetration - Skull of an adult (25%), 10 yr (50%) & 5 yr old (75%)

Risk to Pregnant Women

More vulnerable

Continuously react with the developing embryo and increasing cells



BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Neurodegenerative Disorders –Alzheimer, Parkinson's

Immune System Degradation

Tinnitus and Ear Damage

Irreversible infertility

Effect on Skin

DNA Damage

Increase in Cancer risk



Breakdown of Blood Brain Barrier


Increased Risk of Eye Cancers

Increased Risk of Ear Tumors

Increased Risk of Other Cancers



Effect on Environment



Have you ever seen any bird near cell towers?


May be not because birds have more volume and less weight, so heating effect is very fast.



4 cell towers near Gurgaon-Delhi
Toll Naka

Output of most of fruit bearing trees drastically reduced to $< 5\%$ after 2.5 years of cell tower installation.

EFFECT OF CELL TOWERS *updated on 11Sep'11*



CASE STUDY

250,000 Swedes allergic to mobile phone radiation



- ❑ Around 230,000 - 290,000 Swedish men and women - Out of a population of 9,000,000 are now electro hypersensitive (EHS)
- ❑ One of the first countries where mobile technology was introduced (approx. 15 years ago).

CASE STUDY

New study from Brazil: direct link to 4,924 cancer deaths from cellular antennas radiation



May 17, 2011

- ❑ Scientists found between 1996 and 2006 died in Belo Horizonte a total of 4924 victims of cancer types that may be caused by electromagnetic radiation, such as **tumors in the prostate, breast, lung, kidneys and liver.**
- ❑ 80% of victims lived within 500 m's away from cell phone antennas

CASES IN INDIA



❖ 10 Housewives in Sher-e-Punjab Colony - Andheri (E), Mumbai have been diagnosed with various forms of cancer

6 - Breast Cancer cases, 1 - Ovarian Cancer , 1 - Blood Cancer, 1 - Inguinal Lymph Node Cancer, 1 - unknown – relapsed after chemotherapy

❖ Increased cancer cases with proximity to Towers

Within 91 m from a mobile tower



Name of deceased	Year of death	Cause of death	Age at time of death
Radhabai Sathe	2005	Breast cancer	66
Deshpande	2006	Oesophagus cancer	48
Shubhangee Deshpande	2007	Rectum cancer	66
Pujaree	2008	Cancer	46
Gawai	2008	Breast cancer	52
Shah	2009	Cancer	48
Vidyadhar Dev	2009	Liver cancer	52
Ransube	2009	Throat cancer	73
Archana Malvadkar	2009	Spinal cord cancer	17

Source: L B Deshpande, who studied the deaths in his Solapur locality since two towers were installed four years ago

Cell phones – Cigarettes of 21st century

What do they have in common?

- Produced by Multi-Billion \$ Companies
- Products linked to illness
- Industries deny any health problem



Cell tower radiations are even more harmful than cigarettes because

- One can not see it
- One can not smell it
- One can not move away if his house/office is near cell towers

DOT Inter-Ministry Committee accepts cell phone and tower radiation hazard

INTER-MINISTERIAL COMMITTEE (IMC) Report ON EMF RADIATION was uploaded on DOT website in Jan. 2011.

Mentions several health hazards due to radiation on human health and environment (pages 12-27).

Mentioned Bio-initiative report 2007 has recommended 1000 microW/m² for outdoor cumulative RF exposure (Page 32).

Yet recommended RF exposure limits in India may be lowered to 1/10th of the existing reference level, which will be 0.92W/m² for GSM1800 (Page 33)

7 June 2011, Pg 1

State to nix cell towers on schools, hospitals

Prafulla Marpakwar | TNN

Mumbai: Taking a cue from the widespread concern about mobile towers installed on school and hospital buildings, the Maharashtra government is all set to amend the Development Control (DC) Rules in this regard. Of the 1,600 mobile towers in Mumbai, nearly 500 (or 30%) are atop schools and hospitals. The remaining are on private or commercial buildings.

"Since fears have been expressed about the radiation from mobile towers, we are readying to remove them from school and hospital buildings," a senior official told TOI on Monday. "Once the DC



Mobile towers in Mumbai **1,600**
On schools and hospitals **500**
6 metres Proposed distance from schools and hospitals

rules are amended, it will be mandatory for the operators to remove the towers within six months."

As per the proposed amendment, the operator will have to submit a certificate stating that the emission is within the permissible level and an undertaking that the existing tower will be removed within six months. "New Delhi has already imposed stringent restrictions on mobile towers. We have proposed that they should be at

least six metres away from a school or hospital and that the radar should not face the school or hospital," the official said.

The proposed measures will be implemented by the concerned civic corporation.

Chandigarh - 8 June 2011, Pg 1

Inform public about health hazard of mobile towers: High Court to Govt

RAGHAV OHRI
CHANDIGARH, JUNE 7

EXPRESSING concern over the effects of radiation from mobile towers installed in residential areas, the Punjab and Haryana High Court has held that it will be the duty of the government and mobile companies to inform residents about the harmful effects. A division bench ruled the government will be duty bound to inform the public living where a mobile tower is to be erected, about the "amount of radiation it will emit" and its harmful effects thereof on the health of people. The information will have to be supplied in the shape of a public notice, the court has ruled, before the mobile tower is erected. The HC has also directed the companies in the business of installing mobile towers to do the same.

The directions were passed on an appeal by M/s Wireless IT Info Services Limited and another which had moved the HC against Haryana, challenging the validity of Haryana Municipal (erection of communication towers) by-laws, 2009. HC has upheld by-laws. The petitioner had challenged the levy of tax, terming it unreasonable.


Making it clear that "there is no absolute right to carry on any business", the Bench ruled that "it (business) is always subject to reasonable restriction and regulation", and highlighted the damage being caused due to the radiation originating from the mobile towers. "It will be the duty of the local authorities to issue a public notice for information of all concerned where the permission for erection of a tower is being considered or granted to apprise the public as to what amount of radiation it will emit and the effect thereof on the health of the people living in the area," read the judgment.

The HC held that "the mobiles emit signals in the form of radio waves... It is also feared that the radio waves can cause changes to the cells in our brain. If the DNA in the brain cells get damaged, they may become cancerous and cause brain tumors... It is also feared that the radio waves can alter chemical and electrical reactions in our brain, changing, in effect, the way the brain cells communicate... Studies conducted revealed that sparrows have declined in most contaminated electromagnetic fields".

08.06.11
Ind. Exp.
B-04
Pune
EJH

India has worst radiation norms: report

Nikita
CNN-IBN



Mumbai: An Inter Ministerial Report submitted to the Department of Telecommunications (DoT) has recommended the cutting down of mobile phone tower radiation by one-tenth of the present level. The 5.4 lakh mobile phone towers in the country pose a huge threat to the health of the citizens. Experts say that the amount of radiation emitted from these towers in a day, is equivalent to putting one's body in an oven for 19 minutes!

India has the worst cell phone tower radiation norms in the world. The upper limit is so high that within 2 years the health of 1 crore Indians could be affected.

Actress Juhi Chawla check cell tower radiation

- ❑ Got an independent radiation check



The radiation levels were extremely high all around my house!

This is a cause for concern, not only for my family, but also for all the people living in Malabar Hill.

Milind Deora and A.K Mittal of TERM inspects radiation level of mobile towers at Haji Ali, Mumbai

22nd September'11



Measurement
Location

Reading
(in $\mu\text{W} / \text{m}^2$)

Haji Ali Juice
Center

85,000 $\mu\text{W} / \text{m}^2$

Raj Niketan,
Opp. Sahyadri
State Guest

Max: 42,260 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$
Min: $\sim 178 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$

House,
Malabar Hill

The TERM team says

“We were within WHO limits of 4,500,000 $\mu\text{W} / \text{m}^2$ ”.

ANALYSIS OF READINGS

Standard/ Location	Reading (in $\mu\text{W} / \text{m}^2$)	Comments
Indian Guideline - ICNIRP' 98	4,700,000	Equivalent to putting a person in microwave oven for 19 min/day.
IMC recommendation - Jan. 2011	4,70,000	However, the report mentions several health hazards at $1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$.
Haji Ali Juice Center	85,000	This level is very high but TERM says it is safe as it is within ICNIRP guideline
Opp. Sahyadri State Guest House	42,260	Cancer case in this house - “..same as above..”
Range at which health problems have been observed	>10,000 >100	Several Cancer Cases observed in India Headaches, concentration problem, fatigue, miscarriage, joint pains etc <small>Disclaimer – Symptoms based on Individual sensitivity</small>
Safe Radiation Density level	100	For long term continuous exposure (as per Bioinitiative Report 2007)

Ultimately, everything is related to Energy

$$\text{Energy} = (\text{Power} \times \text{Time})$$

If we want to be safe for:

- 100 years, power density must be $<100 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$
- 10 years, power density must be $<1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$
- 1 year, power density must be $<10,000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$

Above values are for continuous exposure. If we are exposed for only a few hours per day, then we can afford to be exposed to higher radiation density.



IIT expert to help Kolkata City to tackle radiation

The Bengal Post, May 15 2011, Page 5

The Bengal Post CITY 5
Sunday May 15-2011

IIT expert to help city tackle tower radiation

Subhankar Chowdhury

Kolkata: With fears being raised on the effects of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone towers on the health of humans, an expert from IIT has approached the West Bengal pollution control board and offered his help in tackling the problem. According to a recent report of the PCB, the intensity of electromagnetic radiation in the city has been increasing and could pose a health hazard to residents.

In a bid to deal with the problem effectively, Girish Kumar, a professor of IIT Bombay, who is also a researcher in the field, wrote to the board on Friday, offering to share his expertise with the board in tackling the

menace. The professor, who teaches in the electrical engineering department, has also written to the Kolkata Municipal Corporation (KMC) on this issue.

"I have heard that like in other metros, mobile towers are coming up in residential areas and near schools and hospitals across the city. Despite strict rules and regulations, the WBPCB gets at least 15 to 20 complaints every month. I have been working in this area for several years. I had spoken with Shri Chandrasekhar, secretary in the department of telecommunication (DoT) last December about taking concrete steps in tackling cell tower radiation. I hope to share this expertise with PCB officials," the professor said.

The professor had given a pres-

entation on the hazards posed by mobile tower radiation at the Inter-Ministerial Committee (IMC) meeting, DoT, Delhi on October 8, last year. Subsequently, the IMC came out with a report on the same subject in January, this year.

The professor claimed that as per the International Commission of Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNRP) guidelines, installation of base station antennas within the premises of schools and hospitals must be avoided, because children and patients are more susceptible to electro-magnetic radiation. Installing them in narrow lanes increases the risk of earthquakes or wind related disasters.

The ICNRP is an international

scientific advisory body monitoring cell tower radiation and issuing guidelines in combating the danger. However, these rules have been clearly ignored by mobile companies, alleged the IIT expert.

"The problem is that DoT officials often overlook these guidelines and submit to the interests of mobile companies. There is also a lack of public awareness about the perils of cell tower radiation. The best way is to create pressure through public awareness via bodies such as the PCB of respective states so that the DoT officials in turn compel mobile companies to adhere to the guidelines. Therefore, I want to work with WBPCB and KMC," Kumar said.

REASONS TO WORRY

- ▶ The IIT professor had given a presentation on the hazards posed by cell tower radiation at the inter-ministerial committee meeting in Delhi on October 8, last year
- ▶ Shri Chandrasekhar claimed that as per the ICNRP guidelines, installation of base station antennas within the premises of schools and hospitals must be avoided, because children and patients are more susceptible to electro-magnetic field



Solutions – Better Radiation Norms

- ❑ With immediate affect, we should adopt safe radiation level as 0.01 W/m^2 , so power transmitted from each tower must be reduced.
- ❑ This will reduce coverage area. There may be some call drops initially.
- ❑ People must be informed about harmful effects of radiation and this is being done to protect them.
- ❑ In 1 to 2 years, the safe radiation level should be reduced to 0.001 W/m^2 , this will give enough time to operators to plan the network for smooth operation.
- ❑ Requires large number of towers with reduced output power, more number of repeaters, fiber optic solutions, etc.
- ❑ **High cost for operators - not more than health of people**

Solutions – How to meet the increased cost?

- ❑ Low power RF output (max. 1-2Watt) means less heating and power consumption, so cooling cost is reduced, low power solar solution can be adopted, carbon credit can be claimed.
- ❑ Can increase cost per minute by Rs. 0.10
- ❑ Govt. can reduce the license fee
- ❑ Can be subsidized for 1 to 2 years to recover investment cost.

THE ANALYST MAGAZINE

Viewpoint

The telecom sector is providing lakhs of jobs, but it is also giving cancer and other serious health problems to lakhs of people, besides causing harm to birds, animals, trees, etc. The telecom sector claims that it is the fastest growing industry, but it is also creating the fastest growing health problems, and that is the reason why health and environment ministries are after them. The telecom sector says that it is providing the cheapest services in the world, but it takes money from even the poorest of the people in the country and also gets government subsidy; and then nearly 40% of the total collected money goes to foreign vendors. If the technology had been developed and manufactured in India, the money would have remained within the country and also created millions of jobs.

Recommendations to reduce carbon footprint

- ◆ The government must adopt immediately a policy to reduce the transmitted power to a maximum of 1 to 2W, which will protect the health of the people from the harmful effects of cell tower radiation.

This may create signal problem to the people living near the edge of the circle in the beginning; hence, a public announcement must be made that it is being done to protect the health of the people. The people must be educated about the adverse health effects of cell phone and cell tower radiations.

- ◆ Once the power transmitted is reduced, power amplifiers may not be required at most of the places, and no cooling will be required. This will reduce the energy requirement substantially, which can be easily managed with the renewable energy sources.
- ◆ Once the power requirement is reduced, DG will not be required in most of the places. This will also save the diesel subsidy amount of Rs 1,400 cr/year.
- ◆ The above measures will reduce carbon footprint, thereby generating carbon credits.
- ◆ Self-certification/regulation must not be allowed. The government must enforce stringent policies to monitor the radiation

level, air pollution level, etc. near the cell towers. Monitoring must be done by a third party, and extremely heavy penalty must be handed out in case of any violation, as it is directly related to the health of the people, birds, animals, trees, environment, etc.

- ◆ All the people living close to the tower, who have suffered from high radiation, must be compensated. It should come under the corporate social responsibility.
- ◆ Greater emphasis must be given to R&D to develop better solutions.
- ◆ Indigenous development and Indian manufacturers must be given preference.
- ◆ The government must make a rule that at least 90% of the telecom-related products must be manufactured in India. This will help create millions of jobs in India, and also most of the money will remain within the country.

– **Girish Kumar**

Professor, Electrical Engineering Department
IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai

Children are the Future of Our Nation

Do we want future of our nation to be deaf or suffer from many health problems due to cell phone and cell tower radiations? Could have been avoided if precautionary steps were taken on time.



Conclusions

- ❑ In addition to continuous radiation from cell towers and cell phones, there is radiation from computers, laptops, TV & FM towers, microwave ovens, Wi-Fi, etc., which are additive in nature.
- ❑ Stricter radiation norms must be enforced in India.
- ❑ Mobile companies should not be in the denial mode and accept that radiation causes serious health problems. People around the world will carry out research to come out with solutions.



*T
H
A
N
K

Y
O
U*