An Introduction to Bitcoin

Saravanan Vijayakumaran

Department of Electrical Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay https://www.ee.iitb.ac.in/~sarva

December 19, 2017

Venue: IIT Madras

What is Bitcoin?

- Cryptocurrency
- Open source
- Decentralized network



Decentralization Challenges

- Counterfeiting
- Currency creation rules
- Double spending
 - Alice pays Bob *n* digicoins for pizza
 - Alice uses the same n digicoins to pay Carol for books
- Centralization solves all three problems

Solution without a central coordinator?

Double Spending

- Familiar to academics
- Submitting same paper to two conferences
- **Possible solution** Reviewers google paper contents to find duplicates
- Solution fails if
 - · Conferences accepting papers at same time
 - Conference proceedings not published/indexed

Better solution

A single public database to store all submissions to all conferences

The Blockchain

Bitcoin's public database for storing transactions



I see blocks. Where is the "chain"?

Block Header

nVersion	4 bytes
hashPrevBlock	32 bytes
hashMerkleRoot	32 bytes
nTime	4 bytes
nBits	4 bytes
nNonce	4 bytes

Previous Block Header **Current Block Header** nVersion nVersion hashPrevBlock hashPrevBlock hashMerkleRoot Double hashMerkleRoot SHA-256 nTime nTime nBits nBits nNonce nNonce

SHA-256: Cryptographic hash function

Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Input: Variable length bitstrings
- Output: Fixed length bitstrings
- · Easy to compute but difficult to invert
 - Given *H*(*x*), computationally infeasible to find *x*
- Collision resistant
 - Computationally infeasible to find $x \neq y$ with H(x) = H(y)
- Pseudorandom function



Demo

Bitcoin Mining

Preventing Spam in Public Databases

- A database you own where anyone in the world can add entries? Your email inbox
- Hashcash was proposed in 1997 to prevent spam
- Protocol
 - Suppose an email client wants to send email to an email server
 - Client and server agree upon a cryptographic hash function H
 - Email server sends the client a challenge string c



- Client needs to find a string x such that H(c||x) begins with k zeros
- Since *H* has pseudorandom outputs, probability of success in a single trial is

$$\frac{2^{n-k}}{2^n}=\frac{1}{2^k}$$

- The x corresponding to c is considered proof-of-work (PoW)
- PoW is difficult to generate but easy to verify

Bitcoin Mining (1/2)

- Process of adding new blocks to the blockchain
- Nodes which want to perform transactions broadcast them
- Miners collect some of these transactions into a candidate block



- hashPrevBlock contains double SHA-256 hash of previous block's header
- hashMerkleRoot contains root hash of transaction Merkle tree



Bitcoin Mining (2/2)

Block Header	
Number of	
Transactions n	
Coinbase	
Transaction	
Regular	
Transaction 1	
Regular	
Transaction 2	
:	
Regular	
Transaction n – 1	

nVersion
hashPrevBlock
hashMerkleRoot
nTimo
ni ine
nBits
nNonce

• **nBits** encodes a 256-bit target value *T*, say

$$T = \mathbf{0x} \underbrace{\mathbf{00}\cdots\mathbf{00}}_{16 \text{ times}} \underbrace{\mathbf{FFFFF}\cdots\mathbf{FFFFF}}_{48 \text{ times}}$$

Miner who can find nNonce such that

 $\mathsf{SHA256}\left(\mathsf{SHA256}\left(\mathsf{nVersion} \parallel \mathsf{HashPrevBlock} \parallel \dots \parallel \mathsf{nNonce}\right)\right) \leq T$

can add a new block



$$\Pr\left[\mathsf{SHA256d output} \leq T\right] \approx \frac{T+1}{2^{256}}$$

Why should anyone mine blocks?

- Successful miner gets rewarded in bitcoins
- Every block contains a **coinbase transaction** which creates 12.5 bitcoins
- Each miner specifies his own address as the destination of the new coins
- Every miner is competing to solve their own PoW puzzle
- Miners also collect the transaction fees in the block

Block Addition Workflow

- Nodes broadcast transactions
- Miners accept valid transactions and reject invalid ones (solves double spending)
- Miners try extending the latest block



- Miners compete to solve the search puzzle and broadcast solutions
- Unsuccessful miners abandon their current candidate blocks and start work on new ones



What if two miners solve the puzzle at the same time?



- Both miners will broadcast their solution on the network
- Nodes will accept the first solution they hear and reject others



- Nodes always switch to the longest chain they hear
- Eventually the network will converge and achieve consensus

How often are new blocks created?

Once every 10 minutes

nVersion
hashPrevBlock
hashMerkleRoot
nTime
nBits
nNonce

- Every 2016 blocks, the target T is recalculated
- Let t_{sum} = Number of seconds taken to mine last 2016 blocks

$$\textit{T}_{new} = \frac{\textit{t}_{sum}}{14 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60} \times \textit{T}$$

- Recall that probability of success in single trial is <u>7+1</u> <u>2256</u>
- If $t_{\text{SUM}} = 2016 \times 8 \times 60$, then $T_{\text{NeW}} = \frac{4}{5}T$
- If $t_{SUM} = 2016 \times 12 \times 60$, then $T_{NEW} = \frac{6}{5}T$

Bitcoin Supply

- The block subsidy was initially 50 BTC per block
- Halves every 210,000 blocks \approx 4 years
- Became 25 BTC in Nov 2012 and 12.5 BTC in July 2016
- Total Bitcoin supply is 21 million



• The last bitcoin will be mined in 2140

Bitcoin Payment Workflow

- Merchant shares address out of band (not using Bitcoin P2P)
- Customer broadcasts transaction t which pays the address
- Miners collect broadcasted transactions into a candidate block



- One of the candidate blocks containing t is mined
- Merchant waits for confirmations on t before providing goods

Bitcoin Transaction Format

Coinbase Transaction Format

Pre-SegWit



- nValue contains number of satoshis locked in output
 - 1 Bitcoin = 10⁸ satoshis
- scriptPubkey contains the challenge script
- scriptPubkeyLen contains byte length of challenge script

Regular Transaction Format

Pre-SegWit



- hash and n identify output being unlocked
- scriptSig contains the response script

Bitcoin Scripting Language

Script

- Forth-like stack-based language
- One-byte opcodes





5

Challenge/Response Script Execution

Remaining Script Stack State

<Response Script> <Challenge Script>



<Challenge Script>



Response is valid if top element y1 evaluates to True



Unsafe challenge script! Guess why?

Pay to Public Key

Digital Signatures



Pay to Public Key

- Challenge script: 0x21 <Public Key> OP_CHECKSIG
- Response script: <Signature>

Remaining Script

<Signature> <Public Key> OP_CHECKSIG

<Public Key> OP_CHECKSIG

<Signature>

Stack State

OP_CHECKSIG <Signature>

True/False

Signatures Protect Transactions



Pay to Public Key Hash

Pay to Public Key Hash Address

- To receive bitcoins, a challenge script needs to be specified
- P2PKH addresses encode P2PKH challenge scripts
- Example: 1EHNa6Q4Jz2uvNExL497mE43ikXhwF6kZm



Base58 Encoding

1EHNa6Q4Jz2uvNExL497mE43ikXhwF6kZm ↑

0091B24BF9F5288532960AC687ABB035127B1D28A50074FFE0

- Alphanumeric representation of bytestrings
- From 62 alphanumeric characters 0, O, I, I are excluded

Ch	Int	Ch	Int										
1	0	A	9	K	18	U	27	d	36	n	45	w	54
2	1	В	10	L	19	V	28	e	37	0	46	x	55
3	2	С	11	M	20	W	29	f	38	p	47	y y	56
4	3	D	12	N	21	X	30	g	39	q	48	z	57
5	4	E	13	P	22	Y	31	ĥ	40	r	49		
6	5	F	14	Q	23	Z	32	i	41	s	50		
7	6	G	15	R	24	a	33	j	42	t	51		
8	7	н	16	S	25	b	34	k	43	u	53		
9	8	J	17	Т	26	с	35	m	44	v	53		

- Given a bytestring $b_n b_{n-1} \cdots b_0$
 - Encode each leading zero byte as a 1
 - Get integer $N = \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} b_i 256^i$
 - Get $a_k a_{k-1} \cdots a_0$ where $N = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i 58^i$
 - Map each integer a_i to a Base58 character

Pay to Public Key Hash Address



Why Hash the Public Key?



- ECDLP = Elliptic Curve Discrete Logarithm Problem
- ECDLP currently hard but no future guarantees
- · Hashing the public key gives extra protection



P2PKH Transaction

Challenge script
 OP_DUP OP_HASH160 <PubKeyHash> OP_EQUALVERIFY
 OP_CHECKSIG



Response script: <Signature> <Public Key>

P2PKH Script Execution (1/2)

Remaining Script Stack State <Signature> <Public Key> OP_DUP OP_HASH160 <PubKevHash> OP EOUALVERIFY OP CHECKSIG <Signature> <Public Key> OP DUP OP HASH160 <PubKeyHash> OP EQUALVERIFY OP CHECKSIG <Public Key> OP DUP OP HASH160 <Signature> <PubKevHash> OP EOUALVERIFY OP CHECKSIG

> <Public Key> <Public Key> <Signature>

OP_HASH160

<PubKeyHash> OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

P2PKH Script Execution (2/2)

Remaining Script

Stack State

<PubKeyHashCalc> <Public Key> <Signature>

<PubKeyHash> OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

<PubKeyHash> <PubKeyHashCalc> <Public Key> <Signature>

OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

<Public Key> <Signature>

OP_CHECKSIG

True/False

Multi-Signature

m-of-*n* Multi-Signature Scripts

• *m*-of-*n* multisig challenge script specifies *n* public keys

m <Public Key 1> ··· <Public Key n> n OP_CHECKMULTISIG

 Response script provides signatures created using any m out of the n private keys

```
OP_0 <Signature 1> ··· <Signature m>.
```

- Example: m = 2 and n = 3
 - Challenge script

OP_2 <PubKey1> <PubKey2> <PubKey3> OP_3 OP_CHECKMULTISIG

Response script

OP_0 <Sig1> <Sig2>

2-of-3 Multisig Script Execution

Stack State

Remaining Script

OP_0 <Sig1> <Sig2> OP_2 <PubKey1> <PubKey2> <PubKey3> OP_3 OP_CHECKMULTISIG

> <Sig2> <Sig1> <Empty Array>

OP_2 <PubKey1> <PubKey2> <PubKey3> OP_3 OP_CHECKMULTISIG

3							
<pubkey3></pubkey3>							
<pubkey2></pubkey2>							
<pubkey1></pubkey1>							
2							
<sig2></sig2>							
<sig1></sig1>							
<empty array=""></empty>							

OP_CHECKMULTISIG

True/False

Smart Contracts

Smart Contracts

- Computer protocols which help execution/enforcement of regular contracts
- Minimize trust between interacting parties
- Hypothetical example: Automatic fine for noise pollution
 - Campus community hall parties use loudspeakers
 - Party organizers pay bitcoin security deposit
 - If noise rules violated, deposit distributed to nearby residents
- Two actual examples
 - Escrow
 - Micropayments

Smart Contracts Escrow

Problem Setup

- Alice wants to buy a rare book from Bob
- · Alice and Bob live in different cities
- · Bob promises to ship the book upon receiving Bitcoin payment
- Alice does not trust Bob
- Alice proposes an escrow contract involving a third party Carol

Escrow Contract

- Alice requests public keys from Bob and Carol
- Alice pays x bitcoins to a 2-of-3 multisig output

OP_2 <PubKeyA> <PubKeyB> <PubKeyC> OP_3 OP_CHECKMULTISIG

- Bob ships book once Alice's transaction is confirmed
- Bitcoins can be spent if any two of the three provide signatures
- Any of the following scenarios can occur
 - Alice receives book. Alice and Bob sign.
 - Alice receives the book but refuses to sign. Bob provides proof of shipment to Carol. Bob and Carol sign.
 - Bob does not ship the book to Alice. Bob refuses to sign refund transaction. Alice and Carol sign.
- Escrow contract fails if Carol colludes with Alice or Bob
- Also proof of shipment is not proof of contents

Smart Contracts Micropayments

Problem Setup

- · Bitcoin transaction fees make small payments expensive
- Micropayments contract can aggregate small payments
- Alice offers proofreading and editing services online
- She accepts bitcoins as payments
- Clients email documents to Alice
- Alice replies with typos and grammatical errors
- · Alice charges a fixed amount of bitcoins per edited page
- To avoid clients refusing payment, Alice uses micropayments contract
- Suppose Bob wants a 100 page document edited
- Alice charges 0.0001 BTC per page
- Bob expects to pay a maximum of 0.01 BTC to Alice

Micropayments Contract (1/3)

Creating Refund Transaction

- Bob requests a public key from Alice
- Bob creates a transaction t₁ which transfers 0.01 bitcoins to a 2-of-2 multisig output
- Bob does not broadcast t₁ on the network
- Bob creates a refund transaction t₂ which refunds the 0.01 BTC
- A relative lock time of *n* days is set on *t*₂
- Bob includes his signature in t₂ and sends it to Alice
- If Alice refuses to sign, Bob terminates the contract
- If Alice signs t₂ and gives it Bob, he has the refund transaction



Micropayments Contract (2/3)

Getting Paid for First Page Edits

- Bob broadcasts t₁ on the network
- Once t₁ is confirmed, he sends Alice his document
- Alice edits only the first page of the document
- She creates a transaction e₁ which unlocks t₁ and pays her 0.0001 BTC and 0.0099 BTC to Bob
- Alice signs e₁ and sends it to Bob along with the first page edits
 - If Bob refuses to sign e1, then
 - Alice terminates the contract.
 - Bob broadcasts t₂ after lock time expires
 - If Bob signs e₁ and returns it to Alice, then Alice is guaranteed 0.0001 bitcoins if she broadcasts e₁ before lock time on t₂ expires.



Micropayments Contract (3/3)

Getting Paid for Second Page, Third Page ...

- Alice edits the second page of the document
- She creates a transaction e₂ which unlocks t₁ and pays her 0.0002 BTC and 0.0098 BTC to Bob
- Alice signs *e*₂ and sends it to Bob along with the second page edits
 - If Bob refuses to sign e₂, then Alice terminates the contract. Alice broadcasts e₁ and receives 0.0001 BTC.
 - If Bob signs e₂ and returns it to Alice, then Alice is guaranteed 0.0002 bitcoins if she broadcasts e₂ before lock time on t₂ expires.
- Alice continues sending edited pages along with transactions requesting cumulative payments
- She has to finish before the refund transaction lock time expires



Key Takeaways

- Smart contracts reduce the need for trust
- Bitcoin's scripting language enables some smart contracts
- Not powerful enough to express complex contracts

Bitcoin Learning Resources

- Code https://github.com/bitcoin/bitcoin/
- Reddit https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/
- Stackoverflow https://bitcoin.stackexchange.com/
- Forum https://bitcointalk.org/
- IRC https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/IRC_channels
- Books
 - Princeton book http://bitcoinbook.cs.princeton.edu/
 - Mastering Bitcoin, Andreas Antonopoulos
- Notes
 - https://www.ee.iitb.ac.in/~sarva/bitcoin.html

Thanks for your attention Questions/Comments?

Email: sarva@ee.iitb.ac.in Social: https://about.me/sv1