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## Group Theory Recap

## Groups

## Definition

A set $G$ with a binary operation $\star$ defined on it is called a group if

- the operation $\star$ is associative,
- there exists an identity element $e \in G$ such that for any $a \in G$

$$
a \star e=e \star a=a
$$

- for every $a \in G$, there exists an element $b \in G$ such that

$$
a \star b=b \star a=e
$$

## Example

- Modulo $n$ addition on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$


## Cyclic Groups

## Definition

A finite group is a group with a finite number of elements. The order of a finite group $G$ is its cardinality.

## Definition

A cyclic group is a finite group $G$ such that each element in $G$ appears in the sequence

$$
\{g, g \star g, g \star g \star g, \ldots\}
$$

for some particular element $g \in G$, which is called a generator of $G$.

## Example

$\mathbb{Z}_{6}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ is a cyclic group with a generator 1

## $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$

- For an integer $n \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$
- Operation is addition modulo $n$
- $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is cyclic with generator 1
- For an integer $n \geq 2, \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}=\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash\{0\} \mid \operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1\right\}$
- Operation is multiplication modulo $n$
- $\left|\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}\right|=n-1$ if $n$ is a prime
- $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ is cyclic if $n$ is a prime
- Definition: If $G$ is a cyclic group of order $q$ with generator $g$, then for $h \in G$ the unique $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ which satisfies $g^{x}=h$ is called the discrete logarithm of $h$ with respect to $g$.
- Finding DLs is easy in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$
- Finding DLs is hard in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$

Cryptography based on the Discrete Logarithm Problem

## Diffie-Hellman Protocol

- Alice and Bob wish to generate a shared secret key using a public channel

1. Alice runs a group generation algorithm to get $(G, q, g)$ where $G$ is a cyclic group of order $q$ with generator $g$.
2. Alice chooses a uniform $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and computes $h_{A}=g^{x}$.
3. Alice sends ( $G, q, g, h_{A}$ ) to Bob.
4. Bob chooses a uniform $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and computes $h_{B}=g^{y}$. He sends $h_{B}$ to Alice. He also computes $k_{B}=h_{A}^{y}$.
5. Alice computes $k_{A}=h_{B}^{\chi}$.

By construction, $k_{A}=k_{B}$.

- An adversary capable of finding DLs in $G$ can learn the key


## El Gamal Encryption

- Suppose Bob wants to send Alice an encrypted message
- Alice publishes her public key $\langle G, q, g, h\rangle$
- $G$ is a cyclic group of order $q$ with generator $g$
- $h=g^{x}$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is Alice's secret key
- Encryption: For message $m \in G$, Bob chooses a uniform $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and outputs ciphertext

$$
\left\langle g^{y}, h^{y} \cdot m\right\rangle .
$$

- Decryption: From ciphertext $\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle$, Alice recovers

$$
\hat{m}:=c_{2} \cdot c_{1}^{-x}
$$

## Schnorr Identification Scheme

- Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $q$ with generator $g$
- Identity corresponds to knowledge of private key $x$ where $h=g^{x}$
- A prover wants to prove that she knows $x$ to a verifier without revealing it

1. Prover picks $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and sends initial message $I=g^{k}$
2. Verifier sends a challenge $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$
3. Prover sends $s=r x+k \bmod q$
4. Verifier checks $g^{s} \cdot h^{-r} \stackrel{?}{=} I$

- Passive eavesdropping does not reveal $x$
- $(I, r)$ is uniform on $G \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and $s=\log _{g}\left(I \cdot y^{r}\right)$
- Transcripts with same distribution can be simulated without knowing $x$
- Choose $r$, $s$ uniformly from $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and set $I=g^{s} \cdot h^{-r}$
- If a cheating prover can generate two responses, he can implicity compute discrete logarithm
- Section 19.1 of Boneh-Shoup

Digital Signatures

## Digital Signatures

- Digital signatures prove that the signer knows private key
- Interactive protocols are not feasible in practice



## Schnorr Signature Algorithm

- Based on the Schnorr identification scheme
- Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $q$ with generator $g$
- Let $H:\{0,1\}^{*} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ be a cryptographic hash function
- Signer knows $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ such that public key $h=g^{x}$
- Signer:

1. On input $m \in\{0,1\}^{*}$, chooses $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$
2. Sets $I:=g^{k}$
3. Computes $r:=H(I, m)$
4. Computes $s=r x+k \bmod q$
5. Outputs $(r, s)$ as signature for $m$

- Verifier

1. On input $m$ and $(r, s)$
2. Compute $I:=g^{s} \cdot h^{-r}$
3. Signature valid if $H(I, m) \stackrel{?}{=} r$

- Example of Fiat-Shamir transform
- Patented by Claus Schnorr in 1988


## Digital Signature Algorithm

- Part of the Digital Signature Standard issued by NIST in 1994
- Based on the following identification protocol

1. Suppose prover knows $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ such that public key $h=g^{x}$
2. Prover chooses $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}$ and sends $I:=g^{k}$
3. Verifier chooses uniform $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and sends them
4. Prover sends $s:=\left[k^{-1} \cdot(\alpha+x r) \bmod q\right]$ as response
5. Verifier accepts if $s \neq 0$ and

$$
g^{\alpha s^{-1}} \cdot h^{r s^{-1}} \stackrel{?}{=} I
$$

- Digital Signature Algorithm

1. Let $H:\{0,1\}^{*} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ be a cryptographic hash function
2. Let $F: G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ be a function, not necessarily CHF
3. Signer:
3.1 On input $m \in\{0,1\}^{*}$, chooses $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}$ and sets $r:=F\left(g^{k}\right)$
3.2 Computes $s:=\left[k^{-1} \cdot(H(m)+x r)\right] \bmod q$
3.3 If $r=0$ or $s=0$, choose $k$ again
3.4 Outputs $(r, s)$ as signature for $m$
4. Verifier
4.1 On input $m$ and $(r, s)$ with $r \neq 0, s \neq 0$ checks

$$
F\left(g^{H(m) s^{-1}} h^{r s^{-1}}\right) \stackrel{?}{=} r
$$

## Elliptic Curves Over Real Numbers

## Elliptic Curves over Reals

The set $E$ of real solutions $(x, y)$ of

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b
$$

along with a "point of infinity" $\mathcal{O}$. Here $4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$.


$$
y^{2}=x^{3}-x+2
$$


$y^{2}=x^{3}-2 x$

Point Addition (1/3)


$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Q=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \\
x_{1} \neq x_{2} \\
P+Q=R \\
R=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \\
x_{3}=\left(\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right)^{2}-x_{1}-x_{2} \\
y_{3}=\left(\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-y_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Point Addition (2/3)


$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Q=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \\
x_{1}=x_{2}, y_{1}=-y_{2} \\
P+Q=\mathcal{O}
\end{gathered}
$$

Point Addition (3/3)


$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Q=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \\
x_{1}=x_{2}, y_{1}=y_{2} \neq 0 \\
P+Q=R \\
R=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \\
x_{3}=\left(\frac{3 x_{1}^{2}+a}{2 y_{1}}\right)^{2}-2 x_{1} \\
y_{3}=\left(\frac{3 x_{1}^{2}+a}{2 y_{1}}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-y_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Elliptic Curves Over Finite Fields

## Fields

## Definition

A set $F$ together with two binary operations + and $*$ is a field if

- $F$ is an abelian group under + whose identity is called 0
- $F^{*}=F \backslash\{0\}$ is an abelian group under $*$ whose identity is called 1
- For any $a, b, c \in F$

$$
a *(b+c)=a * b+a * c
$$

Definition
A finite field is a field with a finite cardinality.

## Prime Fields

- $\mathbb{F}_{p}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ where $p$ is prime
-     + and $*$ defined on $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+y & =x+y \bmod p, \\
x * y & =x y \bmod p .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathbb{F}_{5}$

| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |


| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

- In fields, division is multiplication by multiplicative inverse

$$
\frac{x}{y}=x * y^{-1}
$$

## Characteristic of a Field

## Definition

Let $F$ be a field with multiplicative identity 1 . The characteristic of $F$ is the smallest integer $p$ such that

$$
\underbrace{1+1+\cdots+1+1}_{p \text { times }}=0
$$

## Examples

- $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ has characteristic 2
- $\mathbb{F}_{5}$ has characteristic 5
- $\mathbb{R}$ has characteristic 0

Theorem
The characteristic of a finite field is prime

## Elliptic Curves over Finite Fields

For $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2,3$, the set $E$ of solutions $(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{F}^{2}$ of

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b
$$

along with a "point of infinity" $\mathcal{O}$. Here $4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$.

$y^{2}=x^{3}+10 x+2$ over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$

$y^{2}=x^{3}+9 x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$

## Point Addition for Finite Field Curves

- Point addition formulas derived for reals are used
- Example: $y^{2}=x^{3}+10 x+2$ over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$

| + | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(6,5)$ | $(6,6)$ | $(8,0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{O}$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(6,5)$ | $(6,6)$ | $(8,0)$ |
| $(3,2)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(6,6)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(6,5)$ | $(8,0)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(5,1)$ |
| $(3,9)$ | $(3,9)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(6,5)$ | $(8,0)$ | $(6,6)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(5,10)$ |
| $(5,1)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(6,5)$ | $(8,0)$ | $(6,6)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(5,10)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(3,2)$ |
| $(5,10)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(8,0)$ | $(6,6)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(6,5)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(3,9)$ |
| $(6,5)$ | $(6,5)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(8,0)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(6,6)$ |
| $(6,6)$ | $(6,6)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(5,1)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $(8,0)$ | $(6,5)$ |
| $(8,0)$ | $(8,0)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(5,10)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(6,6)$ | $(6,5)$ | $\mathcal{O}$ |

- The set $E \cup \mathcal{O}$ is closed under addition
- In fact, its a group


## Bitcoin's Elliptic Curve: secp256k1

- $y^{2}=x^{3}+7$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\underbrace{\text { EFFFFFFF } \cdots \text { FFFFFFFF FFFFFFFE FFFFFC2F }}_{48 \text { hexadecimal digits }} \\
& =2^{256}-2^{32}-2^{9}-2^{8}-2^{7}-2^{6}-2^{4}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

- $E \cup \mathcal{O}$ has cardinality $n$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
n= & \text { FFFFFFFF FFFFFFFF FFFFFFFF FFFFFFFE } \\
& \text { BAAEDCE6 AF48A03B BFD25E8C D0364141 }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Private key is $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$
- Public key is $k P$ where $P=(x, y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=79 \mathrm{BE} 667 \mathrm{E} \text { F9DCBBAC 55A06295 CE870B07 } \\
& \text { 029BFCDB 2DCE28D9 59F2815B 16F81798, } \\
& y=483 A D A 77 \text { 26A3C465 5DA4FBFC 0E1108A8 } \\
& \text { FD17B448 A6855419 9C47D08F FB10D4B8. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Point Multiplication using Double-and-Add

- Point multiplication: $k P$ calculation from $k$ and $P$
- Let $k=k_{0}+2 k_{1}+2^{2} k_{2}+\cdots+2^{m} k_{m}$ where $k_{i} \in\{0,1\}$
- Double-and-Add algorithm
- Set $N=P$ and $Q=\mathcal{O}$
- for $i=0,1, \ldots, m$
- if $k_{i}=1$, set $Q \leftarrow Q+N$
- Set $N \leftarrow 2 N$
- Return $Q$


## Why ECC?

- For elliptic curves $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, best DL algorithms are exponential in $n=\left\lceil\log _{2} q\right\rceil$

$$
C_{E C}(n)=2^{n / 2}
$$

- In $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, best DL algorithms are sub-exponential in $N=\left\lceil\log _{2} p\right\rceil$
- $L_{p}(v, c)=\exp \left(c(\log p)^{v}(\log \log p)^{(1-v)}\right)$ with $0<v<1$
- Using GNFS method, DLs can be found in $L_{p}\left(1 / 3, c_{0}\right)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$

$$
C_{C O N V}(N)=\exp \left(c_{0} N^{1 / 3}(\log (N \log 2))^{2 / 3}\right)
$$

- Best algorithms for factorization have same asymptotic complexity
- For similar security levels

$$
n=\beta N^{1 / 3}(\log (N \log 2))^{2 / 3}
$$

- Key size in ECC grows slightly faster than cube root of conventional key size
- 173 bits instead of 1024 bits, 373 bits instead of 4096 bits


## ECDSA in Bitcoin

- Signer: Has private key $k$ and message $m$

1. Compute $e=$ SHA-256(SHA-256(m))
2. Choose a random integer $j$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$
3. Compute $j P=(x, y)$
4. Calculate $r=x$ mod $n$. If $r=0$, go to step 2 .
5. Calculate $s=j^{-1}(e+k r) \bmod n$. If $s=0$, go to step 2 .
6. Output $(r, s)$ as signature for $m$

- Verifier: Has public key $k P$, message $m$, and signature $(r, s)$

1. Calculate $e=$ SHA-256(SHA-256(m))
2. Calculate $j_{1}=e s^{-1} \bmod n$ and $j_{2}=r s^{-1} \bmod n$
3. Calculate the point $Q=j_{1} P+j_{2}(k P)$
4. If $Q=\mathcal{O}$, then the signature is invalid.
5. If $Q \neq \mathcal{O}$, then let $Q=(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{2}$. Calculate $t=x \bmod n$. If $t=r$, the signature is valid.

- As $n$ is a 256 -bit integer, signatures are 512 bits long
- As $j$ is randomly chosen, ECDSA output is random for same $m$
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