# Indian Institute of Technology Bombay <br> Department of Electrical Engineering 

1. Using a two-node network consisting of a source $S$ and a destination $D$ with a noisy channel between them, explain how ARQ enables reliable communication. [2 points] Ans. Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) principle works on following sequence of events,

- Source $S$ sends a frame and after starting the timer, it waits for the ACK frame to be received from receiver.
- At the destination $D$ once the frame is received, it is checked for errors. If there are no errors, then destination sends ACK frame to the source. If there are errors in the frame, the destination does nothing.
- If the source $S$ receives the ACK frame before the timer exceeds a predetermined threshold, then it sends next frame. If the timer exceeds the threshold a timeout event is said to occur and the current frame is retransmitted.

The following diagrams can also be used to illustrate ARQ operation.

(a) The ideal case: ACK is received before timeout occurs

(b) Error case: Frame errors are detected

(c) Error case: ACK errors are detected

Figure 1: Timing diagrams illustrating stop-and-wait ARQ operation
2. A single parity check is an error detection code which appends a single parity bit to an information bit string. The parity bit is set to 1 if the number of ones in the information bit string is odd and is set to 0 otherwise. Let the information bit string
be 0000 . If a single parity check bit is added to it and the resulting bit string is sent over a noisy channel, list all possible received bit strings which are declared error free at the destination.
Ans. Given that the information bit string is 0000 , the parity check bit is 0 and so the transmitted bit string is 00000 . The channel can introduce any number of errors and hence the received bit string can be any sequence of 5 bits. Let us denote them by $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}$. There are $2^{5}=32$ possible received bit strings. The destination


Figure 2
recalculates the parity bit using the received information bits according the following formula.

$$
\hat{b}_{5}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { Number of 1's is odd } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It then checks whether the recalculated parity $\hat{b}_{5}$ is equal to the received parity bit $b_{5}$. If they are not equal, it declares an error. So the error free bit strings are such that $\hat{b}_{5}$ is equal to $b_{5}$. Since $\hat{b}_{5}$ is fixed once $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ are fixed, we can only vary the latter. So there are 16 possible bit strings which are declared error-free.

| $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}$ | $\hat{b}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00000 | 0 |
| 00011 | 1 |
| 00101 | 1 |
| 00110 | 0 |
| 01001 | 1 |
| 01010 | 0 |
| 01100 | 0 |
| 01111 | 1 |
| 10001 | 1 |
| 10010 | 0 |
| 10100 | 0 |
| 10111 | 1 |
| 11000 | 0 |
| 11011 | 1 |
| 11101 | 1 |
| 11110 | 0 |

3. Consider the six-node communication network shown in the below figure.
(a) List all routes from node $S$ to node $D$.
(b) The number alongside a link indicates the packet delay incurred on that link in seconds. Taking the routing cost of a route to be the sum of the delays of the links which constitute the route, write down the minimum-delay routing tables for the nodes $S, R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ in the format shown in the table below.
[4 points]


| Routing table for $S$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reachable Node | Next Hop | Routing Cost |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Ans.

(a) The loop-free routes are the following

| $S-R_{1}-R_{3}-D$ |
| :---: |
| $S-R_{1}-R_{3}-R_{4}-D$ |
| $S-R_{1}-R_{2}-R_{4}-D$ |
| $S-R_{1}-R_{2}-R_{4}-R_{3}-D$ |
| $S-R_{2}-R_{4}-D$ |
| $S-R_{2}-R_{4}-R_{3}-D$ |
| $S-R_{2}-R_{1}-R_{3}-D$ |
| $S-R_{2}-R_{1}-R_{3}-R_{4}-D$ |

If routes containing loops are allowed, then there are infinitely many routes. One example is $S-R_{1}-R_{2}-R_{4}-R_{3}-R_{1}-R_{2}-R_{4}-D$.
(b) The routing tables for $S, R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are given below.

| Routing table for $S$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Reachable Node | Next Hop | Routing Cost |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | 4 |
| $R_{2}$ | $R_{2}$ | 3 |
| $R_{3}$ | $R_{2}$ | 5 |
| $R_{4}$ | $R_{2}$ | 6 |
| $D$ | $R_{2}$ | 7 |


| Routing table for $R_{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Reachable Node | Next Hop | Routing Cost |
| $S$ | $R_{2}$ | 4 |
| $R_{2}$ | $R_{2}$ | 1 |
| $R_{3}$ | $R_{3}$ | 1 |
| $R_{4}$ | $R_{3}$ | 2 |
| $D$ | $R_{3}$ | 3 |


| Routing table for $R_{2}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Reachable Node | Next Hop | Routing Cost |
| $S$ | $S$ | 3 |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{1}$ | 1 |
| $R_{4}$ | $R_{1}$ | 3 |
| $R_{3}$ | $R_{1}$ | 2 |
| $D$ | $R_{1}$ | 4 |


| Routing table for $R_{3}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Reachable Node | Next Hop | Routing Cost |
| $S$ | $R_{1}$ | 5 |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{1}$ | 1 |
| $R_{2}$ | $R_{1}$ | 2 |
| $R_{4}$ | $R_{4}$ | 1 |
| $D$ | $R_{4}$ | 2 |

