

1 Lecture Plan

- Schnorr Signature Scheme

2 Schnorr Identification Scheme

- The Schnorr signature scheme is based on the Schnorr identification scheme. We will discuss the latter first and show how to build the former from it.
- An identification scheme is an interactive protocol that allows one party (*the prover*) to prove its identity to another (*the verifier*).
- In the public-key setting, the verifier knows only the prover's public key pk . The prover wants to convince the verifier that it knows the secret key sk corresponding to the public key pk . So the prover's identity here is "the party which knows sk ".
- Let \mathcal{G} be a polynomial-time group generation algorithm. On input 1^n , it outputs a description of a cyclic group G , its order q (with $\|q\| = n$), and a generator $g \in G$.
- The Schnorr identification scheme
 - Prover runs $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$ to obtain (G, q, g) .
 - Prover chooses x uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_q and sets $y = g^x$.
 - Prover's public key is $pk = (G, q, g, y)$ and the secret key is $sk = x$.
 - Prover picks $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ and sends initial message $I = g^k$
 - Verifier sends a challenge $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$
 - Prover sends $s = rx + k \pmod q$
 - Verifier checks $g^s \cdot y^{-r} \stackrel{?}{=} I$
- We want to argue two points regarding the protocol construction:
 - The verifier does not gain any knowledge about the secret key x .
 - A prover who does not know x cannot convince a verifier except with a negligible probability.
- How to quantify knowledge? This is difficult in general but we will say that some value Y does not contain more knowledge than X if Y can be efficiently computed from X . By efficient computation, we mean PPT algorithms.

- **Example:** Suppose $N = pq$ where p and q are n -bit primes. A party who knows $\{p, q\}$ has more knowledge than a party who only knows N . Since multiplication can be done in time which is polynomial in n , N can be efficiently computed from $\{p, q\}$. But there are no known PPT algorithms which can compute $\{p, q\}$ from N .
- Verifier does not gain any knowledge about x from the protocol transcript.
 - (I, r) is uniform on $G \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ and $s = \log_g(I \cdot y^r)$
 - Transcripts with same distribution can be simulated without knowing x
 - Choose r', s' uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_q and set $I' = g^{s'} \cdot y^{-r'}$
- **Exercise:** Suppose G is a cyclic group of order q with generator g . Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and $y = g^x$. Show that (I, r, s) and (I', r', s') have the same distribution where
 - $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, I = g^k, r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$, and $s = rx + k \pmod q$
 - $r' \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, s' \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, I' = g^{s'} y^{-r'}$
- Suppose a malicious prover does not know x corresponding to $y = g^x$. Informally, if this prover is able to give correct responses with high probability then it must be able to generate responses s_1, s_2 to at least two different challenges $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ for the same initial message I . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g^{s_1} \cdot y^{-r_1} = I = g^{s_2} \cdot y^{-r_2} &\implies y^{r_1 - r_2} = g^{s_1 - s_2} \\
 &\implies x(r_1 - r_2) = s_1 - s_2 \\
 &\implies x = (r_1 - r_2)^{-1} (s_1 - s_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

So the prover can efficiently calculate the discrete logarithm of y with respect to g .

- **Theorem:** If the discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to \mathcal{G} , then the Schnorr identification scheme is secure.¹

3 Schnorr Signature Scheme

- The *Fiat-Shamir transform* provides a way to convert any interactive identification scheme into a non-interactive signature scheme. The idea is for the signer to act as a prover and use the cryptographic hash of the initial message I and the message to be signed m as the challenge r . In other words, the challenge r is set to $H(I, m)$ where the comma between I and m denotes concatenation, i.e. $H(I, m) = H(I||m)$.
- The Schnorr signature scheme
 - **Gen:** Run $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$ to obtain (G, q, g) . Choose a uniform $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and set $y = g^x$. The private key is x and the public key is (G, q, g, y) . As part of the key generation, a function $H : \{0, 1\}^* \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_q$ is specified.

¹Note that we have not defined security of identification schemes formally. It is defined in Definition 12.8 of KL. It essentially prevents a malicious prover (i.e. a prover who does not know the secret key) from convincing a verifier with a non-negligible probability.

- **Sign:** On input private key x and message $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$, choose k uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_q and set $I = g^k$. Then compute $r = H(I, m)$, followed by $s = rx + k \bmod q$. Output the signature (r, s) .
- **Vrfy:** On input public key (G, q, g, y) , a message m , and a signature (r, s) , compute $I = g^s \cdot y^{-r}$ and output 1 if $H(I, m) = r$.

4 References and Additional Reading

- Sections 12.5.1 from Katz/Lindell