

Q-1: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

- Find controllability index & controllability indices. $\mathcal{K}_i$
- Do $\mathcal{K}_i$ add up to n ?
- Is (A, B) controllable? (A, b_1) ? (A, b_2) ?
- Using annulus amongst a, b, c : Is A cyclic? $(B := [b_1, b_2])$.
- Find observability indices.
- Is arbitrary steering from $p \in \mathbb{R}^4$ to $q \in \mathbb{R}^4$ possible in 2 steps (i.e. $x(0) = p$, $x(2) = q$ for $x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$)
 - using both inputs?
 - using only u_1 ?
 - using only u_2 ?
- Same question as (f): but for deducing $x(0)$ from $y(0), y(1), y(2), \dots, y(N)$.
 - How many minimum instants are needed for inferring $x(0)$ (arbitrary) using both outputs?
 - using y_1 ?
 - using y_2 ?

Q-2: Suppose $\Lambda_{uc}(A, B) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{rank}[A - \lambda I \ B] < n\}$.

(a) Show $\Lambda_{uc}(A, B) = \bigcap_{F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}} \Lambda(A + BF)$

~~$\Lambda(A)$~~ $\Lambda(P) :=$ set of eigenvalues of a square matrix P .

- Let $G(s) = \frac{s+1}{(s+1)(s+2)}$ - obtain a controllable realization (A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1)
 - obtain an observable realization (A_2, B_2, C_2, D_2) .

Q-2 b (contd.)

- obtain a controllable & observable realization.
- obtain a minimal realization of $G(s)$.

Q-2 c) Get a series repr. of $G(s) = g_0 + g_{-1} s^{-1} + g_{-2} s^{-2} + g_{-3} s^{-3} + \dots$

& construct

$$M_n := \begin{bmatrix} g_0 & g_{-1} & g_{-2} & \dots & g_{-n} \\ g_{-1} & g_{-2} & & & \\ g_{-2} & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ g_{-n} & & & & g_{-2n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{? \times ?}$$

Find rank of $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots, M_5$.

~~check if row~~ Argue why M_n 's rank cannot keep increasing by

noting $M_n = \begin{bmatrix} O_n \\ \vdots \\ I \end{bmatrix} [\cdot \quad C_0 \quad \vdots]$ when $O_n = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^n \end{bmatrix}$

Q-2: Verify that $G(s) = CB + \frac{CAB}{s} + \frac{CA^2B}{s^2} + \dots$

(Assume $G(s)$ is strictly proper) (Expand $(sI-A)^{-1}$ in series in s^{-1}).

Q-3 (a) Show $(sI-A)^{-1}$ is strictly proper for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

~~Q-3~~ (b) Show diag term of $(sI-A)^{-1}$ has relative degree = 1 & off-diagonal terms have rel. degree ≥ 2 .

(For $g(s) = \frac{n(s)}{d(s)}$,

relative degree of $g := \deg d - \deg n$).

Hint: Use Adjugate of $(sI-A)$ ($:= \det(sI-A) \cdot (sI-A)^{-1}$) can be calculated by co-factor method & get bounds on cofactor det. degree.

Q-4: Prove the following equivalence. State what result is used.

(a) $\begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix}$ is not f.c.r. for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(b) $\mathcal{O}$ is not f.c.r.

$$\mathcal{O} := \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Q-5: Consider Lyapunov Eqn $A^T Q + QA = -C^T C$.

we intend to infer A is Hurwitz by existence of soln $Q > 0$ of above Lyapunov Eqn.

- (a) show that (A, C) observable is necessary for the conclusion $\exists Q > 0 \Rightarrow A$ is Hurwitz.
- (b) show that (A, C) observable is sufficient for the conclusion $\exists Q > 0 \Rightarrow A$ is Hurwitz.
- (c) Finally, give example of A to show that $\exists Q > 0$, A -Hurwitz such that $A^T Q + QA \neq 0$

Q-6: For (A, B, C, D) state space realization,

Consider $X_{\bar{o}c}, X_{\bar{o}\bar{c}}, X_{oc}, X_{o\bar{c}}$ as 4 decompositions of the state space

by choosing basis appropriately,

by choosing basis appropriately,
 $\bar{o}$ - observable
 $\bar{c}$ - uncontrollable
 c - controllable
 $\bar{c}$ - uncontrollable

Association (A1) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \leftrightarrow X_{oc} \\ x_2 \leftrightarrow X_{\bar{o}\bar{c}} \\ x_3 \leftrightarrow X_{\bar{o}c} \\ x_4 \leftrightarrow X_{o\bar{c}} \end{array} \right.$, obtain $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}$ & check number of nonzero off-diagonal blocks.

(a) draw directed arrows from u to y & maximize the number of arrows
 (Rules: uncontrollable part is A -invariant but not vice-versa)

(b) Check that $\tilde{C}(sI - \tilde{A})\tilde{B} =$ only controllable & observable part.

Instead of A1-association, decide yourself some other association of x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 & solve (a) & (b).

Q-7: To be sure that inverse of a block lower triangular matrix P is also block lower triangular (assuming P is invertible)

get inverse of $P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & 0 \\ P_{21} & P_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, with P_{11}, P_{22} square (& diff sizes).

Q-8: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$

Design an observer that places the observer poles at $-2, -2, -2$. (Bring (A, C) to a form like for control pole placement).

Q-9: Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

and $C = [0 \ 1 \ 2]$. Obtain a minimal realization $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$

Check that (after pole/zero cancellation), the transfer functions are the same.