

Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors - I

Finding eigenvalues = solving polynomial Eqs.

Consider a minic(1/LOA) polynomial

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\lambda + a_0$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \ddots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{companion matrix of } P(\lambda)$$

Take $u = (1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{n-1})^T$. If λ is a root of $P(\lambda)$ then $Au = \lambda u \Rightarrow \lambda$ is an eig of A .

Conversely, if λ is an eigenvalue of A then $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$.

FACT: There is no general formula (involving +, -, x, ÷ and $\sqrt{\cdot}$) for the roots of polynomials with degree > 4 .

\Rightarrow There is no direct method for solving the general eigenvalue problem.

Power Iteration : Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with n linearly independent eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n .

Assume : $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq |\lambda_4| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$

dominant eigenvalue \uparrow Note strict inequality

Choose an arbitrary vector q .

$$q = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n \quad (\text{assume } c_1 \neq 0)$$

Note

(If q is chosen randomly, $c_i \neq 0$ almost surely)

$$Aq = c_1 A v_1 + \dots + c_n A v_n$$

$$= c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n v_n$$

$$A^2 q = c_1 \lambda_1^2 v_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^2 v_n$$

$$A^j q = c_1 \lambda_1^j v_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^j v_n$$

$$\text{Define } q_j := \frac{A^j q}{\lambda_1^j} = (c_1 v_1 + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^j v_2 + \dots + c_n \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^j v_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|q_j - c_1 v_1\| &\leq \underbrace{\left[|c_2| \|v_2\| + \dots + |c_n| \|v_n\| \right]}_{C} \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^j \\ &\leq C \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^j \quad [\text{using } |\lambda_2| \geq \lambda_i, i \geq 3] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right| < 1, \|q_j - c_1 v_1\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

Note: We do not know λ_1 . Hence q_j cannot be used.

$$\rightarrow \|A^j q\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ if } |\lambda_1| > 1$$

$$\|A^j q\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } |\lambda_1| < 1$$

Hence it is better to use a scaled version of $A^j q$:

$$\textcircled{O} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q_0 = q \\ q_{j+1} = \frac{A q_j}{\sigma_{j+1}} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{convenient} \\ \text{scaling factor} \end{array}$$

When \textcircled{O} converges, $q_j = \frac{A q_j}{\sigma_j}$

$$\Rightarrow 1) q_j = v_1 \text{ (or multiple of)} v_1$$

$$2) \sigma_j = \lambda_1$$

$$\sigma_{j+1} = \max_i \|A q_{j,i}\|_1$$

or

$$\sigma_{j+1} = \|A q_j\|_2$$

Flops: For j -iterations, $2n^2j$ flops. However this is an iterative method. Convergence can be slow. E.g. $j \rightarrow \infty$ can be large before $\|q_{j+1} - q_j\|$ becomes ≈ 0 .

Q. Does this work if A is not semisimple?

Rate of Convergence: x_j is said to converge linearly to x_e if $\exists 0 < \varrho < 1$ s.t.

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_{j+1} - x_e\|}{\|x_j - x_e\|} = \varrho$$

i.e. $\|x_{j+1} - x_e\| = \varrho \|x_j - x_e\|$ for j sufficiently large.
 convergence ratio / contraction no.

For power method, $\varrho = \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|$ (Exercise)

Power Iteration often works well. But limited to finding the largest eigenvalue.

$$q_k = \text{randn}(n)$$

$$q_k = \frac{q_k}{\text{norm}(q_k)}$$

for $k = 1 : n$ -iterations

$$z_k = A * q_k$$

$$e_val = \text{dot}(z_k, q_k)$$

$$q_k = \frac{z_k}{\text{norm}(z_k)}$$

end

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Eigenvalue est.} \\ &= \frac{q_k^T A q_k}{q_k^T q_k} \\ & \text{(see below)} \end{aligned}$$

Can be replaced with $|\text{pmr}(z_k)|$

Inverse Iteration : [Same assumptions on A]

Claim: If A is non-singular. If $Av = \lambda v$
 then $\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1}\right]v = \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\right]v$ $\left[\begin{array}{l} v = \frac{1}{\lambda} Av \\ \Rightarrow A^{-1}v = \frac{1}{\lambda} v \end{array} \right]$

clearly, A^{-1} has lin. ind. eigenvectors v_n, \dots, v_1 ,
 corresponds to $\lambda_n^{-1}, \lambda_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_1^{-1}$.

If $|\lambda_n| > |\lambda_{n-1}|$ i.e. $|\lambda_{n-1}| > |\lambda_n|$ same
 method can be used to compute v_n .

convergence rate: $\|\lambda_n/\lambda_{n-1}\| \rightarrow$ Faster

convergence if $|\lambda_n| \ll |\lambda_{n-1}|$

Take away: We want λ_n very close to zero.

Shifting: $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$. If $Av = \lambda v$,
 $(A - \rho I)v = (\lambda - \rho)v$

choose $\rho \approx \lambda_i^*$ for any $i^* = 1, \dots, n$.

Then $|(\lambda_i^* - \rho)| \ll |(\lambda_j^* - \rho)| \quad \forall j \neq i^*$

(most likely) # let $(\lambda_k - \rho)$ be the second smallest
 (in absolute value) eigenvalue

Apply inverse iteration on $(A - \rho I)$.

→ will converge to eigenvector v_{i^*} with

$$\left| \frac{(\lambda_{i^*} - \rho)}{(\lambda_k - \rho)} \right|.$$

Intuition:	$\lambda_n^* = 0.09$
$\lambda_{n-1}^* = 0.2$	$\lambda_n^* = 0.01$
$\lambda_n = 0.1$	$\lambda_{n-1}^* = 0.11$
$\lambda_{n-1} = 0.2$	$\lambda_n = 0.01$
$\left \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} \right = \frac{1}{2}$	$\left \frac{\lambda_n^*}{\lambda_{n-1}^*} \right = \frac{1}{11}$

$$\# \quad q_{j+1} = \frac{(A - \beta I)^T q_j}{\sigma_{j+1}} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{No need to invert.} \\ \text{Just solve using e.g. LU} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\# \text{ Flops: } \underbrace{\frac{2}{3}n^3}_{\text{for LO once}} + \underbrace{2n^2 j^0}_{\text{for } j \text{ iterations}} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \# (A - \beta I) \hat{q}_{j+1} = q_j \\ \# q_j = \frac{\hat{q}_{j+1}}{\sigma_{j+1}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Julia notation for inverse

for k = 1:n_iter

$$z_k = (A - mu * I) \backslash q_k \quad \rightarrow \text{compute } (A - mu * I)^{-1} q_k$$

$$q_k = \frac{z_k}{\text{norm}(z_k)}$$

$$ev = \text{dot}(q_k, A * q_k)$$

end

Q1) How do we know λ_i^0 , in turn of f ?

Q2) If we take $f \approx \lambda_i^0$ then $(A - fI)$ is ill-conditioned. Can we calculate \hat{q}_{j+1}^0 accurately?

We do know that even if $(A - fI)$ is ill-conditioned,
(B.E) $\rightarrow (A + \delta A - fI) \hat{q}_{j+1}^0 = q_j^0$ when $|\delta A|$ is small.
 \Rightarrow But what about sensitivity? (Later)

Rayleigh Quotient \leftarrow Answer to Q1.

Estimate eigenvalue at each iteration. using q_j^0

FACT: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ & $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The unique complex no. that minimizes $\|Ag - fq\|_2$ is the

Rayleigh Quotient $\rho = \frac{q^* A q}{q^* q}$

Proof: Std. least sq. problem: $\begin{bmatrix} q \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Aq \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Normal Eqn: $\rho [q^* q] = q^*(Aq) \rightarrow$ Note Complex conjugates
 $\Rightarrow \rho = \frac{q^* A q}{q^* q}$

Clearly if q is an eigenvector of A
 then $\rho = \text{eigenvalue corr. to } q$.

FACT: $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $A\lambda = \lambda V$. Assume $\|V\|_2 = 1$. Let.
 $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|q\|_2 = 1$ & let $\rho = q^* A q$ be
 the Rayleigh Quotient of q . Then

$$|\lambda - \rho| \leq 2\|A\|_2 \|V - q\|_2$$

Proof: Clearly, $\lambda = V^* A V$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |\lambda - \rho| &= |V^* A V - q^* A q| \\ &= |V^* A V - V^* A q + V^* A q - q^* A q| \\ &= |V^* A(V - q) + (V - q)^* A q| \\ \Rightarrow |\lambda - \rho| &\leq |V^* A(V - q)| + |(V - q)^* A q| \\ &\leq \|V\|_2 \|A\|_2 \|V - q\|_2 + \|V - q\|_2 \|A\|_2 \|q\|_2 \\ &= 2\|A\|_2 \|V - q\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

Inverse Iteration with each shift = the current Rayleigh quotient.

At k th step, $s_j = \frac{q_j^* A q_j}{q_j^* q_j}$
 $(A - s_j I) \tilde{q}_{j+1} = q_j$ and $q_{j+1} = \frac{\tilde{q}_{j+1}}{s_{j+1}}$
 for $k=1:n_iter$
 $z_k = (A - mu * I) \setminus q_k$
 $q_k = z_k / \text{norm}(z_k)$
 $mu = \text{dot}(q_k, A * q_k)$
 end

} final mu is
 our approximation
 of eigenvalue

Convergence is difficult to analyse since μ is changing at each step

→ It is roughly quadratic in practice.

→ Show example.

Flops : $O(n^3)$ since a new LU is req. at each step.

Review of Linear Algebra (\mathbb{C}^n)

Complex Analogy of Orthogonal Matrix \rightarrow Unitary Matrix

$U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary if $U^* U = I$ i.e $U^* = U^{-1}$

$$\text{Eq. } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A^* = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{check} \\
 \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2$$

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle = \alpha_1^* \alpha_1 = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i^2 = 1$$

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle = \alpha_1^* \alpha_2 = \alpha_2^* \alpha_1 = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i^2 = 0$$

Clearly :

- 1) If U, V are unitary, $[UV][\bar{U}\bar{V}]^* = I \Rightarrow UV$ is unitary
 - 2) U^{-1} is unitary
 - 3) $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$, $\|Ux\|_2 = \|x\|_2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$
 - 4) Rotations & Reflectors have complex analogs
 - 5) Every $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $A = QR$ where $Q \rightarrow$ unitary
 $R \rightarrow$ upper triangular
 - 6) U is unitary \Leftrightarrow columns are orthonormal
- 7) A & B are "unitarily similar" if \exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ s.t.
$$B = U^*AU \quad (= U^{-1}AU)$$
- 8) U is unitary $\Rightarrow \|U\|_2 = 1, \det(U) = 1$
- 9) If $A = A^*$ & A is unitarily similar to B , then $B = B^*$
[Proof $B = U^*AU, B^* = U^*A^*U = U^*A^*U = B$]

Thm: (Schur's Thm) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ & a upper triangular matrix T s.t. $T = U^*AU$

Proof: Induction on n . Trivial for $n=1$.

Assume true for $n=k-1$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$, $A\lambda = \lambda V$ with $\|V\|_2 = 1$

Let $U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} V & W \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}^{k \times (k-1)} \text{ chosen in a way}}$ be unitary

\Rightarrow Clearly $W^*V = 0$, s.t. U_1 is unitary.

$$\text{Let } A_1 = U_1^* A U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} V^* \\ W^* \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} V & W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V^* A V & V^* A W \\ W^* A V & W^* A W \end{bmatrix}$$

$\neq 0$ necessarily

$$\text{Since } Av = \lambda v, \quad V^* Av = V^* \lambda v = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow A_1 = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \lambda & * & * & \dots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & \hat{A} \\ 0 & & & & & \end{array} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{where } \hat{A} = P^{(k-1) \times (k-1)} \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{l} W^* Av = \lambda W^* v = 0 \\ \text{But } Av = \lambda v \Rightarrow V^* A = \lambda V^* \\ \text{But } V^* A \neq \lambda V^* \Rightarrow V^* A W \neq 0 \end{array}} \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, \exists unitary U_2 & upper triangular T s.t. $T = U_2^* \hat{A} U_2$

Define $U_2 = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & & & U_2 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow U_2$ is unitary

$$\text{So } U_2^* A_1 U_2 = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \lambda & * & * & \dots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & & & U_2^* \hat{A} U_2 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \lambda & * & * & \dots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & & & T \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \end{array} \right]$$

Let $U = U_1 U_2$.

$$\Rightarrow T = U_2^* A_1 U_2 = U_2^* U_1^* A U_1 U_2 = U^* A U$$

Note: Construction of U requires the eigenvectors $v_i \Rightarrow$ Hence cannot be used for numerical construction.

Schur Decomposition : $A = U T U^*$

Valid for all matrices.

$$\# \quad A \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow A u_1 = u_1 t_{11}$$

↳ Eigenvalue with eigenvalue t_{11}
(Others are not so easy to find)

Example : $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1.06 & -0.61 \\ 2.35 & 0.74 \end{bmatrix}$

Schur Decomp

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.34 - 0.31^\circ \\ -0.89 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = -0.156 + 0.79^\circ$$

$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.34 + 0.31^\circ \\ -0.89 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_2 = -0.15 - 0.79^\circ$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -0.37 + 0.25i^\circ & -0.88 + 0.109i^\circ \\ 0.88 + 0.109i^\circ & -0.37 - 0.255i^\circ \end{bmatrix} \times U$$

Check: $UU^* = I$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} -0.15 + 0.79i^\circ & -2.5 \\ 0 & -0.15 - 0.79i^\circ \end{bmatrix} \times U^*$$

Related Results

(Spectral Thm for Hermitian Matrices) : Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian. Then \exists a unitary $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ & a diagonal $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $D = U^* A U$.

Columns of U are eigenvectors & diagonal entries of D are the eigenvalues.

- $\Rightarrow A = U D U^*$. \leftarrow Spectral Decmp of A
- \Rightarrow Eigenvalues of A are real.
- $\Rightarrow A$ has n - orthonormal eigenvectors

Eq: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1+2i \\ 1-2i & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ Clearly $A = A^*$ (Hermitian)

Eigenvectors: $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.34 + 0.69i^\circ \\ -0.6 \end{bmatrix}$ $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.27 + 0.5i^\circ \\ 0.78 \end{bmatrix}$

with eig values $\{-0.79^\circ, 3.79^\circ\}$
Schur Decomposition:

$$A = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0.3 + 0.6i^\circ & 0.2 + 0.5i^\circ \\ -0.6 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}}_{U} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -0.79^\circ & 0 \\ 0 & 3.79^\circ \end{bmatrix}}_{D} U^*$$

$U \leftarrow$ orthogonal

Normal Matrix: A matrix is normal if
 $AA^* = A^*A$ (not necessarily $= I$)

Thm: (Spectral Thm for Normal Matrices)

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then A is normal iff \exists
 a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ & a diagonal
 $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $D = U^*AU$

$\Rightarrow A$ normal $\Leftrightarrow n$ -orthogonal eigenvectors

\Rightarrow Every skew-Hermitian matrix is normal

$$(A^* = -A) \Leftrightarrow (AA^* = -A^2 = A^*A)$$

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} -i^\circ & 2i^\circ \\ 2i^\circ & i^\circ \end{bmatrix}$ Check: $A^* = \begin{bmatrix} i^\circ & -2i^\circ \\ -2i^\circ & -i^\circ \end{bmatrix} = -A$

Also check $AA^* = A^*A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

Eig: $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ -0.8 \end{bmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = 2 \cdot 23i^\circ, \lambda_2 = -2 \cdot 23i^\circ$

Schur: $A = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -0.85i^\circ & 0.42 - 0.3i^\circ \\ 0.52i^\circ & 0.69 - 0.49i^\circ \end{bmatrix}}_U \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -2.23i^\circ & 0 \\ 0 & 2.23i^\circ \end{bmatrix}}_D U^*$

Subspaces, Distance between Subspaces, Invariant Subspaces

Orthogonal

Projection: Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subspace. Then $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the orthogonal projection onto S if

$$P(P) = S, \quad P^2 = P \text{ and } P^T = P$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{If } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Px \in S, \quad (I-P)x \in S^\perp$$

\Rightarrow If P_1, P_2 are orthogonal projections onto S , then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\| (P_1 - P_2)z \|_2^2 = (P_1 z)^T (I - P_2)z + (P_2 z)^T (I - P_1)z = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P \text{ is unique.}$$

\Rightarrow If $V = [v_1 | \dots | v_k]$ is an orthonormal basis for S , then $P = VV^T$ is the unique orthogonal projection onto S .

(Recall SVD based projections)

Distance between Subspaces: If S_1, S_2 are subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{C}^n$, and $\dim(S_1) = \dim(S_2)$ then $\text{Dist}(S_1, S_2) = \|P_1 - P_2\|_2$ where P_1, P_2 are orthogonal projection onto S_1, S_2 resp.

FACT: If $W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & | & w_2 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times n-k}$, $Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & | & z_2 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times n-k}$ are $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices. If $S_1 = R(w_1)$ & $S_2 = R(z_1)$ then $\text{dist}(S_1, S_2) = \|W^T z_2\|_2 = \|Z^T w_2\|_2$

Invariant Subspace: A subspace $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be invariant for A if

$$\forall v \in S \Rightarrow Av \in S$$

Define $S_\lambda = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid Av = \lambda v\}$. S_λ is a subspace of \mathbb{C}^n

$\rightarrow S_\lambda = \{0\}$ if $\lambda \neq$ eig. value of A ,

\rightarrow For $\lambda =$ eig. value of A , S_λ = eig. space of A associated with λ .

Let S be an invariant subspace of A . Define $\hat{A} = A|_S$. Then $\hat{A}: S \rightarrow S$. But eig. vec / eig. value of \hat{A} & A are same.
 \rightarrow Study \hat{A} instead of A .

Next results show: If we know any invariant subspace S of A , we can convert A to block triangular form using unitary sim. transform

FACT: Let $S = \text{sp}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (be rank k) & $\hat{X} = [x_1, \dots, x_k] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$
 Then S is invariant under $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ iff
 $\exists \hat{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ s.t. $A\hat{X} = \hat{X}\hat{B}$

Proof: Exercise.

Clearly, if $\hat{B}\hat{V} = \lambda \hat{V}$, then $A\hat{X}\hat{V} = \hat{X}\hat{B}\hat{V} = \lambda \hat{X}\hat{V}$
 $\Rightarrow v = \hat{X}\hat{V}$ is an eigenvector of A with eigen λ .
 $\Rightarrow v \in S \Rightarrow v$ is an eig. vector of $A|_S$.

FACT: Under above assumptions, \exists unitary $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $Q^* A Q = T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ n-P \end{matrix}$ & $\lambda(T_{11}) = \lambda(A) \cap \lambda(\widehat{B})$

Proof: Let $\widehat{X} = Q \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} P \\ n-P \end{matrix} \leftarrow QR \text{ fact.}$

$$\# A\widehat{X} = \widehat{X}\widehat{B} \rightarrow A Q \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{B}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{Q^* A Q}_{\text{define } T} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{B}$$

$$\text{Let } T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{B}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{21} R_1 = 0 \Rightarrow T_{21} = 0 \quad (\because R_1 \text{ non-sing})$$

$$\text{Also, } T_{11} R_1 = R_1 \widehat{B} \Rightarrow \lambda(T_{11}) = \lambda(\widehat{B}).$$

Reinterpreting Schur Decomposition using invariant subspaces

Thm: If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then \exists a unitary $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ st. (Schur D.) $Q^* A Q = T = \mathcal{D} + N$ repeat

$$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \rightarrow \text{strictly upper triangular}$$

Proof: (using above ideas): True for $n=1$. Assume for $n-1$.

If $Ax = \lambda x$ ($x \neq 0$), $S = \text{sp}\{x\}$ is inv. subgp.
 Then by above FACT;
 $A[x] = [x][\lambda]$
 \hookrightarrow (as B)

& \exists unitary $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ s.t.
 $U^* A U = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$

Rest: Exercise

$Q = [q_1 | \dots | q_n]$ in above Thm are called Schur vectors

$AQ = QT \Rightarrow Aq_k = \lambda_k q_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{ik} q_i$ $k=1:n$
 $\Rightarrow S_k = \text{sp}\{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$ $k=1:n$ are invariant.

If $Q_k = [q_1 | \dots | q_k]$, then $\lambda(Q_k^* A Q_k) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^* A Q &= \begin{bmatrix} Q_k^* \\ \bar{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} \overline{A} \begin{bmatrix} Q_k & \bar{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} Q_k^* A Q_k & Q_k^* A \bar{Q}_k \\ \bar{Q}_k^* A Q_k & \bar{Q}_k^* A \bar{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(T_{11}) = \lambda(Q_k^* A Q_k)$$

For each $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ there is a k -dim inv. subgp associated i.e. $R\{Q_k\} = \text{sp}\{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$

Q. Is this unique?

QR Iteration

How to → without why for now
 Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and a unitary $U_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.
Set: $T_0 = U_0^* A U_0$
 for $k = 1, 2, \dots$
 $U_k R_k = \overline{T}_{k-1}$ (QR factorization of T_{k-1})
 $\overline{T}_k = R_k U_k$ (Multiply R_k & U_k in
 opposite order to get
 end \overline{T}_k)

Clearly $T_k = R_k U_k = U_k^* (U_k R_k) U_k$
 $= U_k^* \overline{T}_{k-1} U_k$
 $= [U_0 U_1 \cdots U_k]^* A [U_0 U_1 \cdots U_k]$

claim: T_k (which is unitarily similar to A)
 almost always converge to the Schur
 decomposition (upper triangular) of A .

Proof: Over the next several results.

Power Iteration (and invariant subspaces)
 Recall $A^k q^{(0)} = \alpha_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \sum \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_1} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_1}\right)^k v_j$
 $q^{(k)} = \frac{A^k q^{(0)}}{\|A^k q^{(0)}\|} \in \text{sp}\{A^k q^{(0)}\}$
 $\text{dist}(\text{sp}\{q^{(k)}\}, \text{sp}\{x_1\}) = O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k\right)$

$$\text{Also, } |\lambda_1 - \lambda^{(k)}| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right)$$

Power Iteration generalizes to higher dimensional invariant subspaces:

Orthogonal Iteration : Let $1 \leq r \leq n$

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $Q_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ with orthonormal columns:

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$Z_k = A Q_{k-1}$$

$$Q_k R_k = Z_k \quad (\text{QR factorization of } Z_k)$$

end

Optional:

$$\lambda(Q_k^* A Q_k) = \{\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_r^{(k)}\}$$

For $r=1$, O.I. = P.I. exactly

Even for $r > 1$, the sequence $\{Q_k e_1\}$ is exactly the seq. produced by P.I. with

$$q^{(0)} = Q_0 e_1$$

[For simplicity assume A semisimple]

Let the Schur decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

$$Q^* A Q = T = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) + N \quad |\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$$

$$\text{Let } Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_\alpha \\ Q_\beta \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad \& \quad T = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times n}$$

If $|\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}|$ then $D_r(A) = R(Q_\alpha)$ is called the dominant inv. subspace.

$D_r(A) \leftarrow$ unique invariant subspace associated with eig. values $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$

Thm: Let the Schur decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be given by (2) above, $n \geq 2$. Assume $|\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}|$ and $\mu > 0$ satisfies $(1+\mu)|\lambda_r| > \|N\|_F$

Suppose $Q_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ has orthonormal columns and define

$$d_k = \text{dist}(D_r(A), Q(Q_k)), \quad k \geq 0$$

If $d_0 < 1$, then Q_k (generated by O.I.) satisfies:

$$d_k \leq (1+\mu)^{n-2} \left(1 + \frac{\|T_{12}\|_F}{\text{sep}(T_{11}, T_{22})} \right) \left[\frac{|\lambda_{r+1}| + \frac{\|N\|_F}{1+\mu}}{|\lambda_r| - \frac{\|N\|_F}{1+\mu}} \right]^k \frac{d_0}{\sqrt{1-d_0^2}}$$

$$\rightarrow d_r. \quad d_k \leq C \left| \frac{\lambda_{r+1}}{\lambda_r} \right|^k$$

$$\text{sep}(T_{11}, T_{22}) = \min_{X \neq 0} \frac{\|T_{11}X - X T_{22}\|_F}{\|X\|_F}$$

\rightarrow a measure of the distance between $\lambda(T_{11})$ & $\lambda(T_{22})$

\rightarrow smallest singular value of the linear transformation $X \rightarrow T_{11}X - X T_{22}$

Proof is skipped in this course. Refers to Golub pg 368 for details)

At the k^{th} step one can calculate

$$Q_K^* A Q_K = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11}^{(k)} & T_{12}^{(k)} \\ T_{21}^{(k)} & T_{22}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Under above assumptions $T_{21}^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$
& $\lambda(T_{11}^{(k)}) = \{\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_r^{(k)}\}$ are
the estimates of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ at the
 k^{th} iteration.

If $|\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}|$ holds for all $1 \leq r < n$ then, the above convergence happens simultaneously for all r .

$$\Rightarrow Q_K^* A Q_K = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & \approx 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{eigenvalues can be read off.}$$

Q. What happens for complex conjugate eigenvalue pairs?

Orthogonal Iteration to QR Iteration

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{for } k=1, 2, \dots \\ Z_k = A Q_{k-1} \\ Q_k R_k = Z_k \end{array} \right| \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{for } k=1, 2, \dots \\ Q_k^* A Q_k = T_{k-1} \\ T_k = R_k Q_k \end{array} \right| \quad \begin{array}{l} T_0 = Q_0^* A Q_0 \\ \boxed{T_0} \end{array}$$

Assume $Q_0 = I$ in O.I. Then

$$AI = Z_1 = Q_1 R_1 \Rightarrow A = Q_1 R_1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Immediately estimate the eigenvalues:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= Q_1^* A Q_1 \\ &= Q_1^* (Q_1 R_1) Q_1 \quad (\text{using (1)}) \\ &= R_1 Q_1 \end{aligned}$$

(optimistically this should be upper triangular immediately)

In O.I. we would have continued
as $\widehat{Z}_2 = A Q_1$

Instead we do the same computation in
the coordinate basis of T_1 (similar to A)

$$Z_2 := Q_1^* \underbrace{\widehat{Z}_2}_{\widehat{Z}_2 \text{ expressed in basis of } T_1} = Q_1^* (A Q_1) = T_1$$

\Rightarrow Next step: $Z_2 = Q_2 R_2$ (QR fact in O.I.)
is equivalent to $T_1 = Q_2 R_2$

Current guess of eigenvalues (or the upper matrix T_2)

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= Q_2^* T_1 Q_2 \\ &= Q_2^* (Q_2 R_2) Q_2 = R_2 Q_2 \end{aligned}$$

QR Iteration is same as O.I. with
change of basis at each step.

Alternate method to derive QR from O.I.

$$\text{From O.I. } T_{k-1} = Q_{k-1}^* \underbrace{A Q_{k-1}}_{Q_k} = [Q_{k-1}^*, Q_k] R_k$$

$$\text{and } T_k = Q_k^* A Q_k = Q_k^* \underbrace{A Q_{k-1}}_{Q_k} Q_{k-1}^* Q_k \\ = Q_k^* (Q_k R_k) Q_{k-1}^* Q_k = R_k [Q_k^*, Q_k]$$

Properties of QR Iteration

At k-th step,
 T_k generated by QR = $Q_k^* A Q_k$ generated by O.I.
 if both started from $Q_0 = I$.

R_k at kth step are same for both
 QR & O.I.

$$\# \underbrace{Q_K}_{\text{O.I.}} = \underbrace{Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_K}_{\text{Q.R.}}$$

In QR if $A = Q, R$,

$$A^2 = \underbrace{Q_1 R_1 Q_1}_{Q}, R_1 = Q, Q_2 R_2 R_1 = \widehat{Q}_2 \widehat{R}_2$$

$$A^m = \underbrace{Q_1 \cdots Q_m}_{Q_m} \underbrace{R_m \cdots R_1}_{R_m} = \widehat{Q}_m \widehat{R}_m$$

Hence QR is computing $A^m [e_1 \cdots e_n]$
 and finding an orthonormal basis
 using \widehat{Q}_m . \rightarrow Power iteration.