## Finding the Average number of Jobs in a Random Queue
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## Markov chain

$\left\{\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}$ is a sequence of random variable.
$S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n}$ are markov if
$P\left(S_{n+1}=j \mid S_{1}=i_{1}, \ldots ., S_{n}=i_{n}\right)=P\left(S_{n+1}=j \mid S_{n}=i_{n}\right)$
$\forall i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{n}, j \in \mathcal{S}$
where, $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of states.

## Designing a Markov chain / Queue

- Let
$X_{n}$ : representing the amount of work seen by n'th arrival in a Queue
$B_{n}$ : denotes the amount of work bring by the n'th arrival in a Queue
$A_{n}$ : denotes the inter arrival time between the $X_{n}$ and $X_{n+1}$ arrival.
- This Queue can be modeled as:

$$
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+B_{n}-A_{n}
$$

where, X
B, A
denotes the state of the markov chain denotes the random variable, generated by some known distribution.

## Estimation of the Stationary average

$$
\beta=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} f(i) \eta(i)
$$

where, $f$ is a prescribed function.
$\eta$ is the stationary distribution of the chain.

- To calculate the stationary average of the markov chain, we take $f(X)=X$


## Monte Carlo method

The standard Monte Carlo approach is to simulate the Markov chain as per the given distributions and then take the sample average

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} f\left(X_{m}\right)
$$

where, N denotes the number of samples.
By strong law of large no., as N tends to infinity, the function will converge to the stationary average.

## Analysis of Monte Carlo method

- Although the chain is assumed to be irreducible, there might be exist some almost invariant sets of the state space
- Convergence does not depends upon the initial state we choose but convergence time does depend.


## Stochastic Approximation

Stochastic approximation algorithms are recursive update rules that can be used, to solve optimization problems and fixed point equations.

Suppose we wish to find the root $\bar{\theta}$ of the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Newton iteration method

$$
\theta_{n+1}=\theta_{n}-\frac{f\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}
$$

What if we do not have the mathematical model of ' $f$ ' ?

An alternative approach by Robbins and Monro, is to simply use directly the noisy version of ' $f$ ' in a slightly modified version of algorithm.

$$
\theta_{n+1}=\theta_{n}-\gamma_{n} y_{n}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n} \gamma_{n}=\infty \\
\text { and } y_{n}=f\left(\theta_{n}\right)+d_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

is the noisy version of $f\left(\theta_{n}\right)$
The intuition of decreasing step size is that it provides a sort of averaging of the observation.

## ODE Approach

- Consider a function we want to minimize.
- The Gradient descent algorithm for this is

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}+\gamma_{n}\left[-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+D_{n+1}\right]
$$

- The limiting ODE is then

$$
\dot{x}(t)=-\nabla f(x(t))
$$

- If the noise is martingale, i.e. $\mathbb{E}\left[D_{n+1} \mid D_{n}\right]=0$

$$
\text { and } \quad \sum_{n} \gamma_{n}=\infty, \quad \sum_{n} \gamma_{n}^{2}<\infty
$$

Then, iteration converges almost surely to the fixed point or we can say, the optimal point of the function.

## Value iteration with Stochastic approx.

- Let V (i) denotes the Value function/ Cost function correspond to state i.
- Considering the asynchronous Poisson equation, the cost function for each state is updated as[4]

$$
V(i)=f(i)-\beta^{\prime}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j \mid i) V(j), \quad j \in \mathcal{S}
$$

-The iteration for solving the above equation is

$$
V_{n+1}(i)=f(i)-V_{n}\left(i_{0}\right)+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j \mid i) V_{n}(j)
$$

Refer: Section 6.7, Applied Probability Models with Optimization Application Sheldon M. Ross

## Value iteration with Stochastic approx.

- In the above iteration:

$$
V_{n} \rightarrow V \text { and } V_{n}\left(i_{0}\right) \rightarrow \beta
$$

- The Value iteration incremental update

$$
V_{n+1}(i)=V_{n}(i)+a(n)\left[f(i)-V_{n}\left(i_{0}\right)+V_{n}\left(X_{n+1}\right)-V_{n}(i)\right]
$$

- Step size a(n) is chosen in such a way that it satisfies the stochastic approximation properties.

This can be rewritten as

$$
V_{n+1}(i)=V_{n}(i)+a(n) I\left\{X_{n}=i\right\}\left[T_{i}\left(V_{n}\right)-V_{n}\left(i_{0}\right)+M_{n+1}(i)-V_{n}(i)\right]
$$

where $T(\cdot)=\left[T_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, T_{s}(\cdot)\right]^{T}$ is given by

$$
T_{k}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(k)+\sum_{j} p(j \mid k) x_{j}
$$

for $x=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right]^{T} \in \mathcal{R}^{s}$, and for $n \geq 0$,

$$
M_{n+1}(j) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(j)+V_{n}\left(X_{n+1}\right)-T_{j}\left(V_{n}\right), n \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq s
$$

## Analysis of Value iteration method

- Unlike the Monte Carlo method, this iteration uses the incremental mean towards the next update, but still have the same problems as in the case of previous method.
- The variance in the final converged value is less than the Monte Carlo method.
- This method can only be applied to the finite state space Markov chains.


## Function Approximation

- We approximates the value function in terms of basis function and then calculates the weights correspond to the basis.

$$
V(i) \approx \phi(i)^{T} r=\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{j} \phi_{j}(i) \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{S}
$$

- Let the Basis function matrix be

$$
\Phi=\left[\left[\varphi_{i j}\right]\right]_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq M}
$$

where, each basis vector is

$$
\left[\phi_{j}\right]_{1 \leq j \leq M}
$$

- Defining $\phi(i)=\left[\varphi_{i 1}, \varphi_{i 2}, \ldots, \varphi_{i M}\right]^{T}$


## Function Approximation iterations

- The iteration is given as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r_{n+1}=r_{n}(1-a(n))+a(n)\left[B _ { n } ^ { - 1 } \phi ( X _ { n } ) \left(\phi^{T}\left(X_{n+1}\right) r_{n}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.-\phi^{T}\left(i_{0}\right) r_{n}+f\left(X_{n}\right)\right)\right]
\end{array}
$$

- where, $\quad B_{n}=\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \phi\left(X_{m}\right) \phi^{T}\left(X_{m}\right)$
- Stationary average $V_{i_{0}}=\phi\left(i_{0}\right)^{T} r^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{j} \phi_{j}\left(i_{0}\right)$


## Analysis of Function approx. method

- The convergence of this scheme is dependent on the choice of the basis function. Only the correct set of basis function will lead to convergence.
- The convergence time of this algorithm is independent of the initial state we choose.
- Variance is quite less than the previous method discussed.


## Basis Functions

- $M / M / 1$ and $M / G / 1$ queues are been modeled and the basis functions for them is been found.
- There were many basis and all the permutations of those were checked and the correct set was found.
- There can be more than one set of correct basis functions exist for which the iteration will converge.
- Some examples of the basis functions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1^{n} & 2^{n} & \ldots & N^{n}
\end{array}\right]^{T},\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & \ldots & 0, & 1 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
& \text {, }\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & \ldots & 0, & 1 & \ldots & 1, & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right]^{T} \text {, etc }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Experiment 1 : Comparing the SA, MC, SAFA methods for finite state space Markov chain describing $M / M / 1$ queue

- Experiment 2: Comparing the MC, SAFA methods for infinite state space Markov chain describing M/M/1 queue

- Experiment 3 : Comparing the MC, SAFA methods for infinite state space Markov chain describing M/G/1 queue

- Experiment 4 : Simulate the M/G/1 queue with same parameters as earlier but with wrong set of basis functions.



## Split Sampling

- Instead of generating a random variable in the state space, we now generate two random variable in the same state space, keeping the transition probabilities same.

$$
X_{n+1}=Y_{n}+B_{n}-A_{n}
$$

where $Y_{n}$ is any other independent distribution. say, $Y_{n} \sim$ Uniform(S)

- This will improve the convergence, but only for the small state space. For the large state space, it is difficult to use this method and will not give good results.
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