#### **Bitcoin Smart Contracts**

Saravanan Vijayakumaran sarva@ee.iitb.ac.in

Department of Electrical Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

August 3, 2018

### **Smart Contracts**

# Smart Contracts

- Computer protocols which help execution/enforcement of regular contracts
- Minimize trust between interacting parties
- Hypothetical example: Automatic fine for noise pollution
  - IITB hillside community hall parties use loudspeakers
  - · Party organizers pay bitcoin security deposit
  - If noise rules violated, deposit distributed to nearby residents
- Two actual examples
  - Escrow
  - Micropayments

### **Escrow Contract**

### **Problem Setup**

- Alice wants to buy a rare book from Bob
- Alice and Bob live in different cities
- · Bob promises to ship the book upon receiving Bitcoin payment
- Alice does not trust Bob
- Alice proposes an escrow contract involving a third party Carol

#### **Escrow Contract**

- Alice requests public keys from Bob and Carol
- Alice pays x bitcoins to a 2-of-3 multisig output

#### OP\_2 <PubKeyA> <PubKeyB> <PubKeyC> OP\_3 OP\_CHECKMULTISIG

- · Bob ships book once Alice's transaction is confirmed
- Bitcoins can be spent if any two of the three provide signatures
- Any of the following scenarios can occur
  - Alice receives book. Alice and Bob sign.
  - Alice receives the book but refuses to sign. Bob provides proof of shipment to Carol. Bob and Carol sign.
  - Bob does not ship the book to Alice. Bob refuses to sign refund transaction. Alice and Carol sign.
- Escrow contract fails if Carol colludes with Alice or Bob
- Also proof of shipment is not proof of contents

## Micropayments

# **Problem Setup**

- · Bitcoin transaction fees make small payments expensive
- Micropayments contract can aggregate small payments
- · Alice offers proofreading and editing services online
- She accepts bitcoins as payments
- Clients email documents to Alice
- · Alice replies with typos and grammatical errors
- · Alice charges a fixed amount of bitcoins per edited page
- To avoid clients refusing payment, Alice uses micropayments contract
- Suppose Bob wants a 100 page document edited
- Alice charges 0.0001 BTC per page
- Bob expects to pay a maximum of 0.01 BTC to Alice

# Micropayments Contract (1/3)

#### **Creating Refund Transaction**

- Bob requests a public key from Alice
- Bob creates a transaction t<sub>1</sub> which transfers 0.01 bitcoins to a 2-of-2 multisig output
- Bob does not broadcast t<sub>1</sub> on the network
- Bob creates a refund transaction t<sub>2</sub> which refunds the 0.01 BTC
- A relative lock time of n days is set on t<sub>2</sub>
- Bob includes his signature in t<sub>2</sub> and sends it to Alice
- If Alice refuses to sign, Bob terminates the contract
- If Alice signs t<sub>2</sub> and gives it Bob, he has the refund transaction



# Micropayments Contract (2/3)

Getting Paid for First Page Edits

- Bob broadcasts t<sub>1</sub> on the network
- Once t<sub>1</sub> is confirmed, he sends Alice his document
- Alice edits only the first page of the document
- She creates a transaction e<sub>1</sub> which unlocks t<sub>1</sub> and pays her 0.0001 BTC and 0.0099 BTC to Bob
- Alice signs e<sub>1</sub> and sends it to Bob along with the first page edits
  - If Bob refuses to sign e1, then
    - · Alice terminates the contract.
    - Bob broadcasts t<sub>2</sub> after lock time expires
  - If Bob signs e<sub>1</sub> and returns it to Alice, then Alice is guaranteed 0.0001 bitcoins if she broadcasts e<sub>1</sub> before lock time on t<sub>2</sub> expires.



# Micropayments Contract (3/3)

Getting Paid for Second Page, Third Page ...

- Alice edits the second page of the document
- She creates a transaction e<sub>2</sub> which unlocks t<sub>1</sub> and pays her 0.0002 BTC and 0.0098 BTC to Bob
- Alice signs *e*<sub>2</sub> and sends it to Bob along with the second page edits
  - If Bob refuses to sign e<sub>2</sub>, then Alice terminates the contract. Alice broadcasts e<sub>1</sub> and receives 0.0001 BTC.
  - If Bob signs e<sub>2</sub> and returns it to Alice, then Alice is guaranteed 0.0002 bitcoins if she broadcasts e<sub>2</sub> before lock time on t<sub>2</sub> expires.
- Alice continues sending edited pages along with transactions requesting cumulative payments
- She has to finish before the refund transaction lock time expires



### Key Takeaways

- Smart contracts reduce the need for trust
- Bitcoin's scripting language enables some smart contracts
- Not powerful enough to express complex contracts

#### SegWit for Safer Contracts

#### **Transaction ID**

#### **Regular Transaction**



### **Refund Protocol**

- Alice wants to teach Bob about transactions
- Bob does not own any bitcoins
- · Alice decides to transfer some bitcoins to Bob
- Alice does not trust Bob
- · She wants to ensure refund

#### **Refund Protocol**



# Exploiting Transaction Malleability



- If (r, s) is a valid ECDSA signature, so is (r, n s)
- The t'<sub>1</sub> transaction cannot be spent by t<sub>2</sub>
- SegWit = Segregated Witness
- · Solves problems arising from transaction malleability

# SegWit Standard Scripts

- Pay to Witness Public Key Hash (P2WPKH)
- Pay to Witness Script Hash (P2WSH)
- Both can be embedded in a P2SH template
  - P2SH-P2WPKH
  - P2SH-P2WSH

#### Pay to Witness Public Key Hash

scriptPubkey: OP\_0 0x14 <PubKeyHash>,
 scriptSig: (empty),
scriptWitness: <Signature> <Public Key>.

- Challenge script is 22 bytes long
- · First byte indicates script version number
- scriptSig does not contain signatures
- scriptWitness is sent only to SegWit-capable nodes

# P2WPKH Execution by pre-SegWit Nodes



- P2WPKH outputs look like anyone-can-spend outputs to pre-SegWit nodes
- SegWit-capable nodes take the response from scriptWitness
- If majority of hashpower follows SegWit rules, output is not anyone-can-spend

#### **TXID and WTXID Calculations**



#### Witness Format



## WTXID Merkle Tree



- WTXID of coinbase transaction is fixed to all zeros
- For non-SegWit transactions, WTXID = TXID
- Witness root hash is stored in a coinbase null data output



### SegWit Coinbase Transaction



# SegWit Block Size Increase

- Before SegWit, the maximum block size was 1 MB = 10<sup>6</sup> bytes
- Let base size be block size using pre-SegWit serialization of transactions
- Let total size be block size using pre-SegWit serialization of transactions
- Define the block weight as follows

Block Weight =  $3 \times Base Size + Total Size$ .

SegWit imposes the restriction

Block Weight  $\leq$  4 MB = 4  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> bytes

• As total size = base size + witness size, we get

$$\text{Base Size} + \frac{\text{Witness Size}}{4} \leq 1 \text{ MB}.$$

Base size cannot exceed 1 MB irrespective of witness size

# Key Takeaways

- SegWit introduced to solve the transaction malleability issue
- Backward compatibility requirement resulted in complicated design
- Successful deployment required the majority of the hashpower to follow SegWit rules

#### References

• Chapters 5, 6 of *An Introduction to Bitcoin*, S. Vijayakumaran, www.ee.iitb.ac.in/~sarva/bitcoin.html

# **Bitcoin Learning Resources**

- Code https://github.com/bitcoin/bitcoin/
- Reddit https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/
- Forum https://bitcointalk.org/
- IRC https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/IRC\_channels
- Books
  - Princeton book http://bitcoinbook.cs.princeton.edu/
  - Mastering Bitcoin, Andreas Antonopoulos
- Notes
  - https://www.ee.iitb.ac.in/~sarva/bitcoin.html