#### Zero-Knowledge Proofs of Knowledge

Saravanan Vijayakumaran sarva@ee.iitb.ac.in

Department of Electrical Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

October 14, 2019

# Proofs of Knowledge

- Proofs in which prover asserts knowledge of a secret
- Example
  - Let L<sub>iso</sub> be the encoding of pairs of graphs which are isomorphic

 $L_{iso} = \{(G_1, G_2) \mid \exists \phi \text{ such that } \phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \text{ is an isomorphism} \}$ 

- Prover claims to know a  $\phi$ , instead of just claiming that  $(G_1, G_2) \in L_{iso}$
- Zero-knowledge proofs are not necessarily proofs of knowledge
- · How to capture the notion of a machine knowing something?
- The "something" can be captured by a binary relation
  - Let  $R \subset \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^*$  be a binary relation
  - The language  $L_R$  is given by

 $L_R = \{x \mid \exists w \text{ such that } (x, w) \in R\}$ 

• Any *w* such that (*x*, *w*) ∈ *R* is called a **witness** for the membership of *x* in *L*<sub>*R*</sub>

# Proof of Knowledge Definition

- Main idea: If a prover *P*\* claims to know a witness, then this witness should be extractable from *P*\*
- Definition: Let κ : {0, 1}\* → [0, 1] be a function. A protocol (P, V) is a proof of knowledge for the relation R with knowledge error κ if
  - **Completeness:** If *P* and *V* follow the protocol on input *x* and private input *w* to *P* where  $(x, w) \in R$ , then *V* always accepts
  - Knowledge soundness: There exists a constant *c* > 0 and a PPT machine *K*, called the knowledge extractor, such that for every interactive prover *P*<sup>\*</sup> and every *x* ∈ *L*<sub>R</sub>, the machine *K* satisfies the following condition:

Let  $\epsilon(x)$  be the probability that *V* accepts on input *x* after interacting with  $P^*$ . If  $\epsilon(x) > \kappa(x)$ , then upon input *x* and oracle access to  $P^*$ , the machine *K* outputs a string *w* such that  $(x, w) \in R$  with probability  $\epsilon(x) - \kappa(x)$ .

• The knowledge error is the probability of being able to convince a verifier without knowing *w* 

## Proof of Knowledge Alternative Definition

- Main idea: If a prover *P*\* claims to know a witness, then this witness should be extractable from *P*\*
- Definition: Let κ : {0, 1}\* → [0, 1] be a function. A protocol (P, V) is a proof of knowledge for the relation R with knowledge error κ if
  - **Completeness:** If *P* and *V* follow the protocol on input *x* and private input *w* to *P* where  $(x, w) \in R$ , then *V* always accepts
  - Knowledge soundness: There exists a constant *c* > 0 and a probabilistic machine *K*, called the knowledge extractor, such that for every interactive prover *P*<sup>\*</sup> and every *x* ∈ *L<sub>R</sub>*, the machine *K* satisfies the following condition:

Let  $\epsilon(x)$  be the probability that *V* accepts on input *x* after interacting with  $P^*$ . If  $\epsilon(x) > \kappa(x)$ , then upon input *x* and oracle access to  $P^*$ , the machine *K* outputs a string *w* such that  $(x, w) \in R$  within an **expected** number of steps bounded by

$$\frac{|x|^c}{\epsilon(x)-\kappa(x)}.$$

### Schnorr Identification Scheme

- Let G be a cyclic group of order q with generator g
- Identity corresponds to knowledge of private key x where  $h = g^x$
- A prover wants to prove that she knows *x* to a verifier without revealing it
  - 1. Prover picks  $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and sends initial message  $I = g^k$
  - 2. Verifier sends a challenge  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$
  - 3. Prover sends  $s = rx + k \mod q$
  - 4. Verifier checks  $g^s \cdot h^{-r} \stackrel{?}{=} I$
- The knowledge extractor K does the following
  - 1. After the initial message *I* from prover, *K* sends a challenge  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
  - 2. K receives the response s from prover
  - 3. K rewinds the protocol to the step when I was received
  - 4. *K* sends a challenge  $r' \neq r$  and receives s' from the prover
  - 5. *K* extracts *x* from the pairs (r, s) and (r', s')
- This protocol is a PoK but not ZK!
- It is however HVZK

### Zero-Knowledge Proof of Knowledge

- An interactive proof system is a ZKPoK if it satisfies:
  - Completeness: Honest prover convinces honest verifier
  - Zero-Knowledge: Malicious verifiers learn nothing more than statement validity
  - Knowledge soundness: Ensures prover knows witness

### ZKPoK for Quadratic Residuosity

- Interactive protocol for QR of  $x = w^2$  modulo N = pq
  - *P* picks  $r \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_N^*$  and sends  $y = r^2$  to *V*
  - V picks a bit  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$  and sends b to P
  - If b = 0, P sends z = r. If b = 1, P sends z = wr
  - If b = 0, V checks  $z^2 = y$ . If b = 1, V checks  $z^2 = xy$
- We already proved completeness and zero-knowledge. Only need to show knowledge soundness
- The knowledge extractor K does the following
  - 1. After the initial message y from prover, K sends the challenge bit b = 0
  - 2. *K* receives the response  $z_0$  from prover
  - 3. K rewinds the protocol to the step when y was received
  - 4. *K* sends challenge bit b = 1 and receives  $z_1$  from the prover
  - 5. K extracts w as  $\frac{z_1}{z_0}$

# ZKPoK for Graph Isomorphism

- An isomorphism  $\phi$  between graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  exists
- Prover and verifier execute the following protocol
  - Prover picks a random permutation π from the set of permutations of V<sub>2</sub>
  - Prover calculates  $F = \{(\pi(u), \pi(v) \mid (u, v) \in E_2\}$  and sends the graph  $G' = (V_2, F)$  to verifier
  - Verifier picks  $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}$  randomly and sends it to prover
  - If σ = 2, then prover sends π to the verifier. Otherwise, it sends π ∘ φ to the verifier where (π ∘ φ) (ν) is defined as π (φ(ν))
  - If the received mapping is an isomorphism between G<sub>σ</sub> and G', the verifier accepts. Otherwise, it rejects
- The knowledge extractor K does the following
  - 1. After the initial message G' from prover, K sends the challenge  $\sigma = 1$
  - 2. *K* receives the response  $\psi_1$  from prover
  - 3. K rewinds the protocol to the step when G' was received
  - 4. *K* sends challenge  $\sigma = 2$  and receives  $\psi_2$  from the prover
  - 5. *K* extracts an isomorphism as  $\psi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_1$

# References

- On Σ-protocols, Ivan Damgård, http://www.cs.au.dk/~ivan/Sigma.pdf
- Section 4.7 of Foundations of Cryptography, Volume I by Oded Goldreich
- Yehuda Lindell's lecture in the 9th BIU Winter School on Cryptography
  - https://cyber.biu.ac.il/event/ the-9th-biu-winter-school-on-cryptography/
  - ZKPoKs http://cyber.biu.ac.il/wp-content/uploads/ 2018/08/WS-19-3-ZKPOK\_D1-5.pdf
  - ZKPoKs https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RvGsjnoYRRg