Ethereum Transactions

Saravanan Vijayakumaran

Department of Electrical Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

February 15, 2024

World State and Transactions

- World state consists of a trie storing key/value pairs
 - For accounts, key is 20-byte account address
 - Account value is [nonce, balance, storageRoot, codeHash]
- Transactions cause state transitions
- σ_t = Current state, σ_{t+1} = Next state, T = Transaction

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t+1} = \Upsilon(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t, T)$$

- Transactions are included in the blocks
- Given genesis block state and blockchain, current state can be reconstructed
- A transaction can only be initiated by an EOA, not a contract
- Ethereum transactions are of two types
 - Contract creation
 - Message calls (ETH transfers or contract method invocations)
- A message call transaction can result in further message calls
- As of the London upgrade (block 12965000), there are three types of transactions in Ethereum

- Type 0 or legacy transaction
 - rlp([nonce, gasPrice, gasLimit, to, value, data, v, r, s])
- nonce
 - Number of transactions sent by the sender address
 - Prevents transaction replay
 - First transaction has nonce equal to 0
- gasPrice, gasLimit
 - Each operation in a transaction execution costs some gas
 - gasprice = Number of Wei to be paid per unit of gas used during transaction execution
 - gasLimit = Maximum gas that can be consumed during transaction execution
 - gasprice × gasLimit Wei are deducted from sender's account
 - Any unused gas is refunded to sender's account at same rate
 - Any unrefunded Ether goes to miner

- Type 0 or legacy transaction
 - rlp([nonce, gasPrice, gasLimit, to, value, data, v, r, s])
- to
 - For contraction creation transaction, to is empty
 - RLP encodes empty byte array as 0x80
 - Contract address = Right-most 20 bytes of Keccak-256 hash of RLP([senderAddress, nonce])
 - For message calls, to contains the 20-byte address of recipient
- value
 - The number of Wei being transferred to recipient
 - In message calls, the receiving contract should have <code>payable</code> functions

- Type 0 or legacy transaction
 - rlp([nonce, gasPrice, gasLimit, to, value, data, v, r, s])
- init/data
 - This field is also called calldata
 - Contract creation transactions have EVM code in init field
 - Execution of init code returns a body which will be installed
 - Message calls specify a function and its inputs in ${\tt data}$ field
 - The first 4 bytes of the data field specify the function
 - The remaining bytes specify the inputs to the function
 - The first 4 bytes of the Keccak hash of the function signature is used
 - Transfer of ether between EOAs is considered a message call
 - Sender can insert arbitrary info in ${\tt data}$ field
- v, r, s
 - (r, s) is the ECDSA signature on hash of remaining Tx fields
 - Note that the sender's address is not a header field
 - v enables recovery of sender's public key

secp256k1 Revisited

Ethereum uses the same curve as Bitcoin for signatures

•
$$y^2 = x^3 + 7$$
 over \mathbb{F}_p where

 $p = \underbrace{\text{FFFFFFFF}}_{\text{48 hexadecimal digits}} \text{FFFFFFFF} \text{FFFFFFFF} \text{FFFFFFFF} \text{FFFFFFF}$ $= 2^{256} - 2^{32} - 2^9 - 2^8 - 2^7 - 2^6 - 2^4 - 1$

• $E \cup O$ has cardinality *n* where

- Private key is $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$
- Public key is kP where P is the base point of secp256k1
- Note that $p \approx 2^{256}$ and $n > 2^{256} 2^{129}$

Public Key Recovery in ECDSA

• Signer: Has private key k and message m

- 1. Compute e = H(m)
- 2. Choose a random integer *j* from \mathbb{Z}_n^*
- 3. Compute jP = (x, y)
- 4. Calculate $r = x \mod n$. If r = 0, go to step 2.
- 5. Calculate $s = j^{-1}(e + kr) \mod n$. If s = 0, go to step 2.
- 6. Output (r, s) as signature for m

• Verifier: Has public key kP, message m, and signature (r, s)

- 1. Calculate e = H(m)
- 2. Calculate $j_1 = es^{-1} \mod n$ and $j_2 = rs^{-1} \mod n$
- 3. Calculate the point $Q = j_1 P + j_2(kP)$
- 4. If Q = O, then the signature is invalid.
- 5. If $Q \neq O$, then let $Q = (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$. Calculate $t = x \mod n$. If t = r, the signature is valid.
- If Q = (x, y) was available, then

$$kP = j_2^{-1} \left(Q - j_1 P \right)$$

• But we only have $r = x \mod n$ where $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$

Recovery ID

- Since $p < 2^{256}$ and $n > 2^{256} 2^{129}$, four possible choices for (x, y) given r
- Recall that (x, y) on the curve implies (x, -y) on the curve
- Recovery ID encodes the four possibilities

Rec ID	X	У
0	r	even
1	r	odd
2	r + n	even
3	r + n	odd

- For historical reasons, recovery id is in range 27, 28, 29, 30
- Prior to Spurious Dragon hard fork at block 2,675,000 $_{\rm V}$ was either 27 or 28
 - Chances of 29 or 30 is less than 1 in 2¹²⁷
 - v was not included in transaction hash for signature generation

Chain ID

- In EIP 155, transaction replay attack protection was proposed
- Chain IDs were defined for various networks

CHAIN_ID	Chain
1	Ethereum mainnet
4	Rinkeby
61	Ethereum Classic mainnet
62	Ethereum Classic testnet

- After block 2,675,000, Tx field $\rm v$ equals 2 \times CHAIN_ID + 35 or 2 \times CHAIN_ID + 36
- Transaction hash for signature generation included CHAIN_ID
- Transactions with ${\rm v}$ equal to 27 to 28 still valid but insecure against replay attack

Blockchain Forks

- Temporary Forks
 - · When two miners mine a block at almost the same time
- Soft forks and hard forks
 - Caused by changes to the consensus rules
 - Consensus rules = Rules determining validity of blocks and transactions
- Soft forks
 - Backward compatible rule changes
 - Nodes which do not upgrade still consider blocks produced under new rules valid
 - Example: Block size limit reduced to 500 KB from 1 MB
 - Sub-500 KB blocks produced by upgraded miners will be considered valid by non-upgraded nodes
 - Blocks with size larger than 500 KB produced by non-upgraded miners will be rejected by upgraded nodes
 - Soft fork success requires nodes controlling a majority of the hashpower to upgrade to new rules
- Hard forks
 - Not backward compatible rule changes
 - Hard fork success requires all nodes to upgrade

Type 1 Transaction Format

Type 1 Transaction Format

- Type 1 transaction
 - 0x01 || rlp([chainId, nonce, gasPrice, gasLimit, to, value, data, accessList, signatureYParity, signatureR, signatureS])
- Proposed in EIP 2930; included in Berlin hard fork (Apr 2021)
- New fields
 - chainId
 - signatureYParity
 - accessList
- The chain ID and *y*-coordinate parity fields were unbundled for simplicity
- The accessList is a list of contract addresses and storage slots
 - Each address costs 2400 gas and each storage slot costs 1900 gas
 - Subsequent accesses cost 100 gas each (warm access cost)
- Motivation
 - SLOAD cost was increased from 800 to 2100 gas in Berlin
 - Some contracts which assumed the lower gas cost broke

Access List

• Has form [[20 bytes, [32 bytes...]]...]

```
Example
```

- Two addresses and two storage slots are specified
- Gas cost = 2 × 2400 + 2 × 1900

Type 2 Transaction Format

Type 2 Transaction Format

- Type 2 transaction
 - 0x02 || rlp([chain_id, nonce, max_priority_fee_per_gas, max_fee_per_gas, gas_limit, destination, amount, data, access_list, signature_y_parity, signature_r, signature_s])
- Proposed in EIP 1559; included in London hard fork (Aug 2021)
- New fields
 - max_priority_fee_per_gas
 - max_fee_per_gas
- EIP 1559 introduced a base fee per block
- Every transaction has to pay the base fee which is burned
- The max_priority_fee_per_gas specifies a tip to the miner
- The max_fee_per_gas specifies the maximum value of base fee plus tip the transaction is willing to pay

References

- Yellow paper https://ethereum.github.io/yellowpaper/paper.pdf
- EIP-2718 https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-2718
- EIP-1559 https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-1559
- EIP-2930 https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-2930
- EIP-1559 https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-1559
- Understanding gas costs after Berlin https://hackmd.io/@fvictorio/gas-costs-after-berlin
- Berlin upgrade announcement https://blog.ethereum.org/2021/03/08/ ethereum-berlin-upgrade-announcement
- London upgrade announcement https: //blog.ethereum.org/2021/07/15/london-mainnet-announcement
- EVM Opcodes https://www.evm.codes/
- Spurious Dragon hard fork https://blog.ethereum.org/2016/11/18/ hard-fork-no-4-spurious-dragon/
- EIP 155: Simple replay attack protection https: //github.com/ethereum/EIPs/blob/master/EIPS/eip-155.md
- Online Keccak hash https://emn178.github.io/online-tools/keccak_256.html