

1 Lecture Plan

- Perfect adversarial indistinguishability

2 Recap

- Perfectly secret encryption schemes

3 Perfect adversarial indistinguishability

- Another equivalent definition of perfect secrecy.
- Based on an *experiment* involving an adversary passively observing a ciphertext and then trying to guess which of two possible messages was encrypted.
- Will serve as a starting point for defining computational security in the next few lectures.
- Consider the following experiment $\text{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{\text{eav}}$:
 - Let $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ be an encryption scheme with message space \mathcal{M} .
 - Let \mathcal{A} be an adversary (an algorithm).
 - The adversary \mathcal{A} outputs a pair of arbitrary messages $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$.
 - A key k is generated using Gen , and a uniform bit $b \in \{0, 1\}$ is chosen. Ciphertext $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_b)$ is computed and given to \mathcal{A} . This ciphertext c is called the *challenge ciphertext*.
 - \mathcal{A} outputs a bit b' .
 - The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if $b' = b$, and 0 otherwise. We write $\text{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{\text{eav}} = 1$ if the output of the experiment is 1 and in this case we say that \mathcal{A} succeeds.
- It is trivial for \mathcal{A} to succeed with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ by outputting a random guess or a fixed bit. Perfect indistinguishability requires that it is impossible for \mathcal{A} to do any better.

Definition. Encryption scheme $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ with message space \mathcal{M} is perfectly indistinguishable if for every \mathcal{A} it holds that

$$\Pr [\text{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{\text{eav}} = 1] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Lemma. *Encryption scheme $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is perfectly secret if and only if it is perfectly indistinguishable.*

Proof.

- (**Forward direction**, $A \implies B$) Assume that Π is perfectly secret and that the adversary is deterministic. Prove that Π is perfectly indistinguishable. Prove it assuming the adversary is probabilistic.
- (**Reverse direction**, $B \implies A$) Proving $B \implies A$ is equivalent to proving $A^c \implies B^c$. Assume that Π is not perfectly secret. Prove that Π is not perfectly indistinguishable.

□

4 References and Additional Reading

- Section 2.3 from Katz/Lindell