

## 1 Lecture Plan

- Prove the CPA-security of the encryption scheme presented in the last lecture.
- Define pseudorandom permutations
- Describe the construction of DES

## 2 CPA-Secure Encryption from Pseudorandom Functions

- Let  $F$  be a length-preserving pseudorandom function. Define a private-key encryption scheme for messages of length  $n$  as follows:
  - **Gen**: On input  $1^n$ , choose  $k$  uniformly from  $\{0, 1\}^n$ .
  - **Enc**: Given  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , choose uniform  $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and output the ciphertext

$$c := \langle r, F_k(r) \oplus m \rangle.$$

- **Dec**: Given  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and ciphertext  $c = \langle r, s \rangle$ , output the plaintext message

$$m := F_k(r) \oplus s.$$

**Theorem** (Theorem 3.31 of KL). *If  $F$  is a pseudorandom function, then the above construction is a CPA-secure private-key encryption scheme for messages of length  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Done in class. □

## 3 Pseudorandom Permutations

- In practice, constructions of pseudorandom permutations are used instead of pseudorandom functions.
- Let  $\text{Perm}_n$  be the set of all permutations (bijections) on  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . An  $f \in \text{Perm}_n$  can be seen as a lookup table where any two distinct rows must be different.
- $|\text{Perm}_n| = (2^n)!$
- A function  $F : \{0, 1\}^{l_{\text{key}}(n)} \times \{0, 1\}^{l_{\text{in}}(n)} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{l_{\text{in}}(n)}$  is called a *keyed permutation* if for all  $k \in \{0, 1\}^{l_{\text{key}}(n)}$ ,  $F_k$  is a permutation.

- $l_{in}(n)$  is called the *block length* of  $F$ .
- $F$  is *length-preserving* if  $l_{key}(n) = l_{in}(n) = n$ .
- $F$  is said to be *efficient* if both  $F_k(x)$  and  $F_k^{-1}(y)$  have polynomial-time algorithms for all  $k, x, y$ .
- A *pseudorandom permutation* is a permutation which cannot be efficiently distinguished from a random permutation, i.e. a permutation uniformly chosen from  $\text{Perm}_n$ .
- A *strong pseudorandom permutation* is a permutation which cannot be efficiently distinguished from a random permutation *even if the distinguisher is given oracle access to the inverse of the permutation*.
- In practice, constructions of strong pseudorandom permutations are called *block ciphers*.

## 4 Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- DES was proposed by IBM in 1974 in response to a call for proposals from the US National Bureau of Standards (now NIST)
- Adopted as a US federal standard from 1979 to 2005
- In 2000, AES selected as successor to DES.
- DES considered insecure now but still interesting for historical reasons.

### 4.1 Construction

- Based on the *Feistel transform*
- Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be any function. The Feistel transform of  $f$  is the function  $FSTL_f : \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  is defined by

$$FSTL_f(L, R) = (R, f(R) \oplus L)$$

- Even if  $f$  is not a bijection,  $FSTL_f$  is a bijection.
- The inverse is given by

$$FSTL_f^{-1}(X, Y) = (Y \oplus f(X), X)$$

- DES has a key length of 56 bits and a block length of  $n = 64$  bits. It consists of 16 *rounds* of a Feistel transform.
- The 56-bit key  $K$  is expanded to a sequence of 16 subkeys  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{16}$  each of length 48 bits.
- Decryption uses the same structure as encryption except for the fact that the subkeys are applied in reverse order.
- See pages 41–44 of Bellare-Rogaway notes for full description.

## 5 References and Additional Reading

- Section 3.5, 3.6 from Katz/Lindell
- Chapter 3 of *Introduction to Modern Cryptography* by Mihir Bellare, Phillip Rogaway, 2005.  
<http://web.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/classes/227/spring05/book/main.pdf>